arXiv:1609.07184v1 [math.CV] 22 Sep 2016

Holomorphic Flexibility Properties of Spaces of Elliptic Functions

David Bowman

Thesis submitted for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Pure Mathematics

at

The University of Adelaide

Faculty of Engineering, Computer and Mathematical Sciences

School of Mathematical Sciences

September 26, 2016

Contents

Signed Statement iii

Acknowledgements iv

Dedication v

Abstract vi

1 Introduction 1

1.1 Background and Context 1

1.2 Results of the Thesis 4

1.3 Further Directions 9

2 Proof of Main Theorem 11

2.1 Topology 11

2.2 Complex Structure 13

2.2.1 The Universal Complex Structure 13

2.2.2 Symmetric Products 17

2.2.3 The Jacobi Map 19

2.2.4 The Theta Function 22

2.2.5 The Divisor Map 25

2.2.6 Nonsingularity of R_n 26

2.2.7 The Space R_n/M 27

2.3 Elliptic Functions of Degree 2 29
2.3.1 Elliptic Curves 29
2.3.2 The Weierstrass $\wp$-function 31
2.3.3 Degree 2 Case 32
2.4 A 9-sheeted Covering of R_3/M 35
2.5 Oka Branched Covering Space of $\mathbb{P}_2 \backslash C$ 43
2.6 The Main Theorem 48

3 Further Results **53**
3.1 Strong Dominability 53
3.2 The Remmert Reduction of R_3 58
3.3 An Alternative Proof of the Main Theorem 60

Bibliography **69**

Signed Statement

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being available for loan and photocopying.

SIGNED: ..... DATE: .....

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the following for their contributions to this thesis.

Finnur Lárusson, my principal supervisor, for his unending support, for nurturing the growth of this thesis and for many, many helpful discussions.

Nicholas Buchdahl, my secondary supervisor, for exposing me to many exciting ideas in geometry and analysis.

Franc Forstnerič, Philipp Naumann, Richard Lärkäng and Tuyen Truong for many interesting mathematical discussions.

The staff at Kathleen Lumley College for making my stay in Adelaide an enjoyable one.

And finally, my friends and family for their love and support.

Dedication

To Grandad, for teaching me to count cars.

Abstract

Let X be an elliptic curve and $\mathbb{P}$ the Riemann sphere. Since X is compact, it is a deep theorem of Douady that the set $\mathcal{O}(X, \mathbb{P})$ consisting of holomorphic maps $X \to \mathbb{P}$ admits a complex structure. If R_n denotes the set of maps of degree n, then Namba has shown for $n \geq 2$ that R_n is a $2n$-dimensional complex manifold. We study holomorphic flexibility properties of the spaces R_2 and R_3. Firstly, we show that R_2 is homogeneous and hence an Oka manifold. Secondly, we present our main theorem, that there is a 6-sheeted branched covering space of R_3 that is an Oka manifold. It follows that R_3 is $\mathbb{C}$-connected and dominable. We show that R_3 is Oka if and only if $\mathbb{P}_2 \backslash C$ is Oka, where C is a cubic curve that is the image of a certain embedding of X into $\mathbb{P}_2$.

We investigate the strong dominability of R_3 and show that if X is not biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma_0$, where Γ_0 is the hexagonal lattice, then R_3 is strongly dominable.

As a Lie group, X acts freely on R_3 by precomposition by translations. We show that R_3 is holomorphically convex and that the quotient space R_3/X is a Stein manifold.

We construct an alternative 6-sheeted Oka branched covering space of R_3 and prove that it is isomorphic to our first construction in a natural way. This alternative construction gives us an easier way of interpreting the fibres of the branched covering map.

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Background and Context

Oka theory originates from the principle that certain problems in complex analysis have only topological obstructions. The earliest work in this vein was done by Oka, who showed in 1939 that the second Cousin problem on a domain of holomorphy has a holomorphic solution if and only if it has a continuous solution. It follows that the holomorphic and topological classifications of line bundles over domains of holomorphy are equivalent. Grauert extended this result to G-bundles over Stein spaces, where G is a complex Lie group.

The modern development of the theory began with the work of Gromov, who was interested in what is now called the *basic Oka property* (BOP). A complex manifold X is said to satisfy BOP if every continuous map $S \to X$, where S is a Stein manifold, is homotopic to a holomorphic map $S \to X$. Grauert showed that every homogeneous space satisfies BOP. Gromov defined *elliptic manifolds* as a generalisation of homogeneous spaces and proved that not only do they satisfy BOP, but also stronger properties involving deforming continuous families of continuous maps to continuous families of holomorphic maps, as well as approximating such maps.

For basic introductions to Oka theory, see [25] and [26]. For more comprehensive references, see [10], [11] and [12].

To define an Oka manifold we will use a second approach to Oka theory which arises from the classical theorems of Runge and Weierstrass. Weierstrass' theorem generalises to Cartan's extension theorem, which allows holomorphic functions defined on closed subvarieties of Stein manifolds to be extended to the whole manifold. Runge's theorem, on the other hand, generalises to the Oka-Weil approximation theorem: every holomorphic function on a compact holomorphically convex subset K of a Stein manifold S can be approximated uniformly on K by functions that are holomorphic on all of S. These two theorems are classically taken to be properties of Stein manifolds, but they can also been viewed as properties of the target manifold $\mathbb{C}$.

A complex manifold X is said to satisfy the *interpolation property* (IP) if for every subvariety S of a Stein manifold Y, a holomorphic map $S \to X$ has a holomorphic extension to Y if it has a continuous extension.

A complex manifold X is said to satisfy the *approximation property* (AP) if for every holomorphically convex compact subset K of a Stein manifold Y, a continuous map $Y \to X$ holomorphic on (a neighbourhood of) K can be uniformly approximated on K by holomorphic maps $Y \to X$.

Cartan's extension theorem and the Oka-Weil approximation theorem then become the statements that $\mathbb{C}$ satisfies IP and AP respectively.

It is not difficult to show that IP implies AP. It is a deep theorem of Forstnerič that the converse is also true. Indeed, Forstnerič's theorem proves the equivalence of a whole family of related properties. The weakest of these is the *convex approximation property* (CAP). We say that a complex manifold X satisfies CAP if given any convex compact subset K of $\mathbb{C}^n$, every holomorphic map $K \to \mathbb{C}^n$ can be approximated uniformly on K by holomorphic maps $\mathbb{C}^n \to X$.

Any manifold X satisfying one of these equivalent properties is called an *Oka manifold*.

Every elliptic manifold is Oka. Hence every homogeneous manifold is Oka. Furthermore, every Oka manifold satisfies BOP. However, the converse is false. Indeed the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ is not Oka by Liouville's theorem, but satisfies BOP because it is contractible.

Proving that a manifold is Oka is generally quite difficult. It is necessary to be able to construct new Oka manifolds from old. A crucial result that we will use is a deep theorem that a holomorphic fibre bundle with Oka fibres is Oka if and only if the base is Oka. This result originates from the work of Gromov [16, Corollary 3.3C′]. See also [9, Theorem 1.2]. Note that a special case of this theorem is that an unbranched covering space of a manifold is Oka if and only if the base is Oka.

There are several weaker flexibility properties that all Oka manifolds possess, but are not known to be equivalent to the Oka property. It is often easier to establish these properties than the full Oka property. We say that a complex manifold X is $\mathbb{C}$-*connected* if any two points in X can be joined by a holomorphic map $\mathbb{C} \to X$. We say a manifold of dimension n is *dominable* if for some $x \in X$, there exists a holomorphic map $\mathbb{C}^n \to X$ that is a local biholomorphism at 0, with $f(0) = x$. If we can find such a map for every $x \in X$, then we say that X is *strongly dominable*.

Now, let X and Y be complex manifolds with X compact. Let $\mathcal{O}(X, Y)$ denote the set of holomorphic maps $X \to Y$ equipped with the compact-open topology. It is a special case of a deep theorem of Douady [6, Section 10.2] that there exists a natural complex structure on $\mathcal{O}(X, Y)$. It is natural in the sense that if Z is any complex space, a map $g : Z \to \mathcal{O}(X, Y)$ is holomorphic if and only if the map $f : Z \times X \to Y$, $f(z, x) = g(z)(x)$, is holomorphic. This gives a natural identification between $\mathcal{O}(Z, \mathcal{O}(X, Y))$ and $\mathcal{O}(Z \times X, Y)$. The complex geometry of such mapping spaces is of intrinsic interest, but is particularly relevant to Oka theory when Y is

taken to be Oka. In this case, the study of maps into $\mathcal{O}(X, Y)$ reduces to the study of the space $\mathcal{O}(Z \times X, Y)$, the elements of which can be considered to be holomorphic families of holomorphic maps $Z \to Y$ with compact parameter space X. However, the study of Oka properties of such mapping spaces is difficult. In particular, even if X, Y are both manifolds, $\mathcal{O}(X, Y)$ may be singular. See [31, Section 2.2] for an example. This is problematic, as Oka properties do not generalise easily to singular spaces [24].

The first work in this direction was done by Hanysz who studied the space $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{P})$ of rational functions in one complex variable [18]. Here, $\mathbb{P}$ denotes the Riemann sphere. If R_n denotes the set of holomorphic maps $\mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{P}$ of degree n, then we can write

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{P}) = R_0 \sqcup R_1 \sqcup R_2 \sqcup R_3 \sqcup \cdots .$$

The set R_0 is the set of constant maps, so is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{P}$, which is well known to be Oka. The set R_1 is the Möbius group, a complex Lie group, so is Oka. Hanysz showed that R_2 is a homogeneous space, so is Oka. His main result, using geometric invariant theory, was that R_3 is strongly dominable and $\mathbb{C}$-connected.

Our work has been to study $\mathcal{O}(X, \mathbb{P})$, where X is an elliptic curve, that is, a compact Riemann surface of genus 1.

1.2 Results of the Thesis

Let X be a compact Riemann surface of genus 1. Then $\mathcal{O}(X, \mathbb{P})$ denotes the set of holomorphic maps $X \to \mathbb{P}$. It is a special case of a theorem of Douady [6, Section 10.2] that $\mathcal{O}(X, \mathbb{P})$ admits a complex structure, uniquely determined by a universal property. Furthermore, if we let $R_n \subset \mathcal{O}(X, \mathbb{P})$ denote the set of degree n holomorphic maps $X \to \mathbb{P}$, then Namba has shown for $n \geq 2$, that R_n is in fact a $2n$-dimensional complex manifold [31, Proposition 1.1.4].

As in Hanysz's work, R_0 is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{P}$, so is Oka. However $R_1 = \varnothing$, as any element in R_1 would be a biholomorphism.

Our first result is the following, analogous to the result of Hanysz in the genus 0 case.

Theorem 1. *The complex manifold R_2 is a homogeneous space and hence Oka.*

Our main theorem is the following.

Theorem 2. *There exists a 6-sheeted branched covering space of R_3 that is an Oka manifold.*

It must be noted that it is not known whether the Oka property can be passed down a branched covering map. Hence we are unable to conclude that R_3 is Oka. However, our theorem does imply that R_3 is $\mathbb{C}$-connected and dominable. Hence our main theorem is similar to that of Hanysz in the degree 3 case, except that it does not imply strong dominability.

The proof shall use the following commuting diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
N \times X & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & (N \times X)/S & \xleftarrow[\tilde{\pi}]{} & W \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda \times \mathrm{id}_X} & \overset{\Psi}{\searrow} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Lambda} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Phi} \\
\mathbb{P}_2 \backslash C \times X & \xrightarrow{\tilde{s}_3} & R_3/M & \xleftarrow[\pi]{} & R_3 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{proj}_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \chi} & \overset{\beta}{\swarrow} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle D_0} \\
X & \xrightarrow{s_3} & X & \xleftarrow[\psi]{} & S^3X
\end{array}$$

Let M denote the group of Möbius transformations. Then M is the automorphism group of $\mathbb{P}$ and acts freely and properly on R_3 by postcomposition. The orbit space R_3/M is a complex manifold such that the projection $\pi : R_3 \to R_3/M$ is a holomorphic principal M-bundle.

Let S^3X be the 3-fold symmetric product of X. Then S^3X is a 3-dimensional complex manifold. For $f \in R_3$, let $D_0(f) \in S^3X$ be the divisor of zeroes of f. Then

the map $D_0 : R_3 \to S^3X$ is holomorphic.

Recall that X may be given the structure of a complex Lie group by identifying it with $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma$, where Γ is a lattice in $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\psi : S^3X \to X$ be the Jacobi map, which takes the divisor $p_1 + p_2 + p_3 \in S^3X$ to the point $p_1 + p_2 + p_3 \in X$, where the addition in S^3X is the formal addition of points, and the addition in X is the Lie group addition. Then ψ is holomorphic, and the composition $\beta = \psi \circ D_0$ is M-invariant, so β induces a holomorphic map $\chi : R_3/M \to X$.

Let $s_3 : X \to X, z \mapsto 3z$. Then s_3 is an unbranched 9-sheeted covering map. Furthermore, s_3 is a normal covering. Namba [31] has shown that for a certain smooth cubic curve C in the complex projective plane $\mathbb{P}_2$, biholomorphic to X, there exists a map $\tilde{s}_3 : \mathbb{P}_2\backslash C \times X \to R_3/M$ such that the following is a pullback square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}_2\backslash C \times X & \xrightarrow{\tilde{s}_3} & R_3/M \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{proj}_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \chi} \\ X & \xrightarrow{s_3} & X \end{array}$$

where proj_2 is the projection onto the second component. Then $\tilde{s}_3$ is a 9-sheeted unbranched covering map $\mathbb{P}_2\backslash C \times X \to R_3/M$. We might say that s_3 unravels the map χ.

Buzzard and Lu [3, Proposition 5.1] have shown that there exists a dominable 6-sheeted branched covering space N of $\mathbb{P}_2\backslash C$. Let λ denote the 6-sheeted branched covering map $N \to \mathbb{P}_2\backslash C$. Hanysz [17, Proposition 4.10] has shown that N is in fact Oka.

Then let $\Psi = \tilde{s}_3 \circ (\lambda \times \mathrm{id}_X)$. This map is a 54-sheeted branched covering map $N \times X \to R_3/M$. Let $S = s_3^{-1}(0) = \{x \in X : 3x = 0\}$. Then S is the group of covering transformations of s_3. The action of S on X lifts firstly to a free action on $\mathbb{P}_2\backslash C \times X$ and then to a free action on $N \times X$. The quotient map $\zeta : N \times X \to$

$(N \times X)/S$ is a 9-sheeted unbranched covering map. Since both N and X are Oka, it follows that $N \times X$ and hence $(N \times X)/S$ are Oka. The map Ψ is S-invariant and so induces a 6-sheeted branched covering map $\Lambda : (N \times X)/S \to R_3/M$.

Taking W to be the pullback of R_3 along Λ, we obtain the following pullback square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & R_3 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{\pi}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ (N \times X)/S & \xrightarrow{\Lambda} & R_3/M \end{array}$$

where $\tilde{\pi} : W \to (N \times X)/S$ is the pullback of π along Λ and $\Phi : W \to R_3$ is the pullback of Λ along π. Then W is smooth since π is a submersion and W is a 6-sheeted branched covering space of R_3.

Since π is a principal M-bundle, it follows that $\tilde{\pi}$ is also a principal M-bundle. Since M is a complex Lie group, M is Oka. Hence W is Oka.

Note that it is an open problem whether $\mathbb{P}_2 \backslash C$ is Oka [12, Open Problem B, p. 20]. Our work shows that R_3 is Oka if and only if $\mathbb{P}_2 \backslash C$ is Oka. Indeed, if R_3 is Oka, since π is a principal bundle with Oka fibre M, then R_3/M is Oka. Since $\tilde{s}_3$ is an unbranched covering map, it follows that $\mathbb{P}_2 \backslash C \times X$ is Oka. Since a retract of an Oka manifold is easily seen to be Oka, it follows that $\mathbb{P}_2 \backslash C$ is Oka. Conversely, if $\mathbb{P}_2 \backslash C$ is Oka, then $\mathbb{P}_2 \backslash C \times X$ is Oka since the complex Lie group X is Oka. Using the maps $\tilde{s}_3$ and π, it follows that both R_3/M and R_3 are Oka.

Our result implies that R_3 is dominable and $\mathbb{C}$-connected. We should note that although this result is analogous to the result of Hanysz, who showed that R_3 is strongly dominable in the genus 0 case, our method of proof is completely different. Hanysz took the categorical quotient of R_3 by the action of $M \times M$, acting via pre- and post-composition. In our case, M acts freely on R_3 by post-composition, which allows us to take the geometric quotient. This has helped us to obtain our results.

The specific references most crucial to our work are those of Namba [31], Buzzard and Lu [3], and Hanysz [17]. Namba's work has been particularly vital. It was Namba who first showed that R_3 is a manifold and constructed the map $\tilde{s}_3$. Much of Namba's work is done in the more general case where X is a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 1$. In the genus $g = 1$ case, his proofs can be considerably simplified, and we have done this to make our work as self-contained as possible. We have also presented the details of the construction of the map $\lambda : N \to \mathbb{P}_2 \backslash C$, which was originally done by Buzzard and Lu and is a crucial ingredient to our proof.

Besides our main theorem, we have proved several additional results.

Let Γ_0 denote the hexagonal lattice in $\mathbb{C}$. Then by investigating the branching of $\Phi : W \to R_3$ we have shown the following.

Theorem 3. *If X is not biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma_0$, then R_3 is strongly dominable.*

The Lie group X acts on R_3 by pre-composition. This action is free and so R_3/X is a complex manifold. It is easy to see that R_3 is not Stein because the orbits of X are compact subvarieties of R_3. However, R_3 is holomorphically convex and we have shown the following.

Theorem 4. *The complex manifold R_3 is holomorphically convex and its Remmert reduction is R_3/X.*

Finally, by first taking the quotient by X we have constructed an alternate 6-sheeted Oka branched covering space W' of R_3. We have shown that W' is biholomorphic to W in a natural way. This has allowed us to determine the fibres of the branched covering map $\Phi : W \to R_3$ and provide a geometric interpretation of them.

1.3 Further Directions

Let X be a compact Riemann surface of genus 1 and R_3 the complex manifold of holomorphic maps $X \to \mathbb{P}$ of degree 3. Let C be a cubic curve in $\mathbb{P}_2$ biholomorphic to X.

The most immediate open question arising from our work is to determine whether $\mathbb{P}_2 \backslash C$ is strongly dominable in the case when X is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma_0$, where Γ_0 is the hexagonal lattice in $\mathbb{C}$. Our proof of the strong dominability of $\mathbb{P}_2 \backslash C$ fails in this case because the map $\lambda : N \to \mathbb{P}_2 \backslash C$ has a special kind of branching for this cubic. To resolve the problem, it is necessary to construct a new dominating map $\mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{P}_2 \backslash C$, but it is not obvious how to do so. If $\mathbb{P}_2 \backslash C$ can be shown to be strongly dominable, then the associated R_3 would also likely be strongly dominable.

Another direction for further research that follows from our work is to study holomorphic flexibility properties of R_n for $n \geq 4$. Recall that R_3/M has a 9-sheeted covering space $\mathbb{P}_2 \backslash C \times X$. Now, for $n \geq 4$, fix $D \in S^n X$. The Riemann-Roch theorem implies that $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_D) = n$. Let $\eta_1 \ldots, \eta_n$ form a basis of $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_D)$. Then the map $X \to \mathbb{P}_{n-1}$, $x \mapsto [\eta_1(x), \ldots, \eta_n(x)]$, is an embedding of X into $\mathbb{P}_{n-1}$. Call the image of this embedding C_n. Let S denote the open subspace of the Grassmannian variety consisting of $(n-3)$-dimensional linear subspaces of $\mathbb{P}_{n-1}$ not intersecting C_n. Namba showed that $S \times X$ is an n^2-sheeted unbranched covering space of R_n/M [31, Lemma 1.4.1]. Note that for $n = 3$, $S = \mathbb{P}_2 \backslash C$. It seems possible to construct a manifold analogous to N. However, proving that this manifold is Oka is likely to be difficult.

Generalising our work to spaces of holomorphic maps $X \to \mathbb{P}$, where X is a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$ is another more ambitious project. Letting R_n denote the set of degree n maps $X \to \mathbb{P}$, Namba has shown that R_n/M is biholomorphic to a specific open subset of a Grassmannian variety [31, Corollary 1.3.13]. As in the case of higher degree, constructing a manifold analogous to N seems possible,

but it is not at all clear whether it will be Oka, particularly because X is no longer Oka. It is not known whether X being hyperbolic is an obstruction to R_n being Oka.

There are several smaller problems that arise from our work, but are not directly related to the study of holomorphic flexibility properties.

Firstly, it would be interesting to determine the covering transformations of the map $\Phi : W \to R_3$ to see if the branched covering map is normal. Our work shows that this boils down to showing that the covering $\lambda : N \to \mathbb{P}_2 \backslash C$ is normal, so determining the covering transformations of this map is of interest.

Secondly, let X_1 and X_2 be two compact Riemann surfaces of genus 1 and let $R_3(X_1)$, $R_3(X_2)$ be the associated complex manifolds of degree 3 maps $X_1, X_2 \to \mathbb{P}$. It seems unlikely that $R_3(X_1)$ and $R_3(X_2)$ are isomorphic unless X_1 is isomorphic to X_2. It would be nice to be able to confirm this.

Thirdly, we show that the set of maps in R_3 with double critical points forms a singular hypersurface of R_3, whose singular points are the maps with two double critical points. If X is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma_0$, then the singular points of this hypersurface consists of maps that have three double critical points. To determine the isomorphism classes of these special maps is an interesting problem related to the theory of dessins d'enfants.

Finally, computing the fundamental group of R_3 seems possible because of the fibre bundle structure established by Namba. The key ingredient will be computing the fundamental group of $\mathbb{P}_2 \backslash C$.

Chapter 2

Proof of Main Theorem

2.1 Topology

Let X, Y be topological spaces and $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ the set of all continuous maps $X \to Y$. The *compact-open topology* on $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ is the smallest topology containing the sets

$$\{f \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y) : f(K) \subset U\},$$

where $K \subset X$ is compact and $U \subset Y$ is open.

The following results are well known [19, p. 530].

Proposition 5. *If X is compact and Y is a metric space with metric d, then the compact-open topology on $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ is the metric topology given by the metric $d(f, g) = \sup_{x \in X} d(f(x), g(x))$, the topology of uniform convergence.*

Proposition 6. *If X is locally compact and Z is any topological space, then a map $g : Z \to \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ is continuous if and only if the map $f : Z \times X \to Y$, $f(z, x) = g(z)(x)$, is continuous.*

Taking $g = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}(X,Y)}$ in the above proposition implies that the evaluation map $e_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C}(X,Y)\times X \to Y$, $(f,x) \mapsto f(x)$, is continuous whenever X is locally compact.

Let X be a compact Riemann surface, $\mathbb{P}$ the Riemann sphere and $\mathcal{O}(X,\mathbb{P})$ the set of all holomorphic maps $X \to \mathbb{P}$. Then $\mathcal{O}(X,\mathbb{P})$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{C}(X,\mathbb{P})$, whose topology has a subbasis consisting of the sets

$$[K,U] = \{f \in \mathcal{O}(X,\mathbb{P}) : f(K) \subset U\},$$

where $K \subset X$ is compact and $U \subset \mathbb{P}$ is open.

Let R_n denote the subset of $\mathcal{O}(X,\mathbb{P})$ consisting of all maps of degree n. If the genus of X is at least 1, $R_1 = \varnothing$, since a map $X \to \mathbb{P}$ of degree 1 would be a biholomorphism. For $n \geq 2$, R_n may or may not be empty. In particular, $R_2 \neq \varnothing$ if and only if X is hyperelliptic. In general we can write

$$\mathcal{O}(X,\mathbb{P}) = R_0 \sqcup R_2 \sqcup R_3 \sqcup \ldots.$$

Note that R_0 is just the set of constant maps. The following result is due to Namba [31, Lemma 1.1.1]. The proof, however, is our own work.

Proposition 7 (Namba)**.** *Let X be a compact Riemann surface and R_n denote the space of holomorphic maps $X \to \mathbb{P}$ of degree n. For each $n \geq 0$, the set R_n is both open and closed in $\mathcal{O}(X,\mathbb{P})$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that R_n is open. The set $R_0 = [X,\mathbb{C}] \cup [X,\mathbb{P}\setminus\{0\}]$ is open. If $f \in R_n$, $n \geq 1$, then f has m distinct zeroes $p_1,\ldots,p_m \in X$, where $1 \leq m \leq n$. For $i = 1,\ldots,m$, let U_i be a relatively compact neighbourhood (we take a neighbourhood to be open by definition) of p_i in X such that:

1. $\bar{U}_1,\ldots,\bar{U}_m$ are pairwise disjoint,
2. each U_i is a disc in some local coordinates,
3. each $\bar{U}_i$ contains no poles of f.

Let $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \bar{U}_i$. Then D is compact and $\partial D = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \partial U_i$. Let $\varepsilon = \min_{\partial D} |f| > 0$ and let

$$W = \left\{ g \in [D, \mathbb{C}] : \max_{\partial D} |g - f| < \varepsilon \right\} \cap [X \backslash \mathring{D}, \mathbb{P} \backslash \{0\}].$$

It follows immediately that if $g \in W$, then g has no zeroes on ∂D. Furthermore, the conditions 1–3 above allow us to apply Rouché's theorem on each ∂U_i to conclude that in each $\bar{U}_i$, every $g \in W$ has the same number of zeroes as f, counted with multiplicity. Since g has no zeroes outside of D, it follows that $g \in R_n$. Hence $W \subset R_n$.

We shall now show that W contains a neighbourhood of f. Since $f(D)$ is a compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$, there exist points $y_1, \ldots, y_k \in D$ such that the open discs $B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{3}}(f(y_1)), \ldots,$ $B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{3}}(f(y_k))$ cover $f(D)$. Letting K_i be the closure of $f^{-1}(B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{3}}(f(y_i)))$, we see that each K_i is compact, $D \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} K_i$ and $f(K_i) \subset B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(f(y_i))$. Then setting $V_i = B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(f(y_i))$ and $S = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} [K_i, V_i] \cap [X \backslash \mathring{D}, \mathbb{P} \backslash \{0\}]$ we see that $f \in S$. Since S is open, it is sufficient to show that $S \subset W$. If $g \in S$ and $y \in K_i$, then since $g(y) \in V_i$,

$$|g(y) - f(y_i)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Since $f(y) \in V_i$,

$$|f(y) - f(y_i)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

so

$$|f(y) - g(y)| < \varepsilon,$$

implying that $g \in W$. □

2.2 Complex Structure

2.2.1 The Universal Complex Structure

The following theorem is a special case of a deep result by Douady [6, Section 10.2]. A proof of this special case belongs to Kaup [23, Theorem 1]. This theorem is fun-

damental to our work.

Theorem 8 (Douady)**.** *Let X be a compact complex manifold, let Y be a reduced complex space and endow $\mathcal{O}(X,Y)$ with the compact-open topology. Then $\mathcal{O}(X,Y)$ can be given the structure of a reduced complex space satisfying the following universal property.*

$(*)$ *If Z is any reduced complex space, then a map $g : Z \to \mathcal{O}(X,Y)$ is holomorphic if and only if the map $f : Z \times X \to Y$, $f(z,x) = g(z)(x)$, is holomorphic.*

Any complex structure on $\mathcal{O}(X,Y)$ satisfying $(*)$ is called a *universal complex structure* and is unique up to biholomorphism.

Instead of using property $(*)$, Kaup defines a universal complex structure by the following two properties.

(1) The evaluation map $e : \mathcal{O}(X,Y) \times X \to Y$, $(f,x) \mapsto f(x)$, is holomorphic.

(2) If Z is any reduced complex space, then a map $g : Z \to \mathcal{O}(X,Y)$ is holomorphic if the map $f : Z \times X \to Y$, $f(z,x) = g(z)(x)$, is holomorphic.

Kaup's definition of a universal complex structure is equivalent to $(*)$. To prove this, firstly let $Z = \mathcal{O}(X,Y)$ and $g = \mathrm{id}_Z$. Then $(*)$ implies that the evaluation map e is holomorphic and so $(*)$ implies both (1) and (2). Conversely, in order for (1) and (2) to imply $(*)$ it must be shown that they imply the converse of (2). For Z a reduced complex space and $g : Z \to \mathcal{O}(X,Y)$ holomorphic, the map $f : Z \times X \to Y$, $f(z,x) = g(z)(x)$, is the map $e \circ (g \times \mathrm{id}_X)$, a composition of holomorphic maps.

Throughout this thesis we shall verify $(*)$ by verifying Kaup's properties, as the latter are almost always easier to check.

Property $(*)$ allows the following lemma to be proved.

Lemma 9. *Let X, Y be complex manifolds with X compact and let* $\mathrm{Aut}(Y)$ *denote*

the group of holomorphic automorphisms of Y. Then if $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(Y)$ is fixed, the map $\mathcal{O}(X,Y) \to \mathcal{O}(X,Y)$, $f \mapsto g \circ f$, is a biholomorphism.

Proof. It is sufficient to show that the map is holomorphic. By property $(*)$ in Theorem 8, this holds if the map $\mathcal{O}(X,Y) \times X \to Y$, $(f,z) \mapsto (g \circ f)(z)$, is holomorphic. But this is just the map $g \circ e$, which is a composition of holomorphic maps. □

Taking X to be a Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 1$ and $Y = \mathbb{P}$, it follows immediately from Theorem 8 and the previous section that

$$\mathcal{O}(X, \mathbb{P}) = R_0 \sqcup R_2 \sqcup R_3 \sqcup \dots,$$

possesses a universal complex structure. Furthermore, R_n possesses a universal complex structure in the sense that it satisfies property $(*)$ with R_n substituted for $\mathcal{O}(X,Y)$. Namba [31, Chapter 1] studied the universal complex structure on R_n and proved the following theorem. See [31, Proposition 1.1.4].

Theorem 10 (Namba)**.** *If $n \geq g$, then R_n is a complex manifold of dimension $2n + 1 - g$.*

For $n < g$, R_n may be singular. Namba provides an explicit example in [31, Section 2.2]. The main goal of this section is to prove Theorem 10 when X has genus 1 (Theorem 16). Our proof of this special case is simpler than Namba's original proof, which covers the general case.

We shall finish this subsection by considering the complex structure of some basic examples of mapping spaces.

For our first example, we shall show that the natural identification of R_0 with $\mathbb{P}$ gives R_0 the universal complex structure. To show this, fix $x_0 \in X$ and identify R_0 with $R_0 \times \{x_0\}$. The map $R_0 \times \{x_0\} \to \mathbb{P}$, $(f, x_0) \mapsto f(x_0)$, is obviously a bijection and is holomorphic when R_0 is given the universal complex structure. Kaup's property (2)

implies that the inverse map $\mathbb{P} \to R_0 \times \{x_0\}$ is holomorphic if the map $\mathbb{P} \times X \to \mathbb{P}$, $(z, x) \mapsto z$, is holomorphic, which is obviously true.

In a similar vein, we shall show that the usual complex structure on the Möbius group M (the group of holomorphic automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}$) is the universal complex structure. The usual complex structure on M is obtained by identifying it with $\mathbb{P}\,\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. However, M also is the set of degree 1 holomorphic maps $\mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{P}$, so comes equipped with Douady's universal complex structure. To show that these two complex structures are the same, it suffices to check Kaup's properties (1) and (2) when $X = Y = \mathbb{P}$ and $\mathbb{P}\,\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is substituted for $\mathcal{O}(X, Y)$.

Firstly, we will verify property (1). Let $e : \mathbb{P}\,\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{P}$, $(f, z) \mapsto f(z)$, and $\tilde{e} : \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$, $(f, z) \mapsto f(z)$, be the evaluation maps and let $\Pi : \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{P}\,\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{P}$ and $\pi : \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{P}$ be the projectivisation maps. Then the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{e}} & \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\} \\ \downarrow \Pi & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathbb{P}\,\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{P} & \xrightarrow{e} & \mathbb{P} \end{array}$$

It is obvious that the map $\tilde{e}$ is holomorphic. Then if σ is a local holomorphic section of Π, it is clear that locally e is the composition $\pi \circ \tilde{e} \circ \sigma$, which is holomorphic.

To show property (2), let Z be a reduced complex space and $g : Z \to \mathbb{P}\,\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that $f : Z \times X \to \mathbb{P}$, $f(z, x) = g(z)(x)$, is holomorphic. Using a local holomorphic section of the projectivisation map $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{P}\,\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, we can find a local lifting of g to a map $\tilde{g} : U \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, where U is a member of a sufficiently fine open cover of Z. Then let $\tilde{f} : U \times \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$, $\tilde{f}(z, x) = \tilde{g}(z)(x)$, and let $\pi : \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{P}$ be the projectivisation map. Then the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U \times \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}_U \times \pi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ U \times \mathbb{P} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{P} \end{array}$$

Since f is holomorphic, by taking local holomorphic sections of π we see that $\tilde{f}$ is holomorphic. Now, the map $\tilde{g}$ is matrix-valued, so is holomorphic if and only if its component functions are holomorphic. Taking $x = (1, 0)$, $(0, 1)$, the holomorphicity of the map $\tilde{f}(\cdot, x) : U \to \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ implies that these component functions are holomorphic. Then $\tilde{g}$ is holomorphic, so g is holomorphic on U.

2.2.2 Symmetric Products

This subsection is drawn from [14, Section 3.a] and [22, Example 49 A.17 i].

Let X be a Riemann surface and let S_n denote the symmetric group of permutations of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Then S_n acts on the n-fold product X^n by

$$\sigma(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = (z_{\sigma(z_1)}, \ldots, z_{\sigma(z_n)}),\ \sigma \in S_n.$$

The *n-fold symmetric product* of X is the orbit space $S^nX = X^n/S_n$. Then S^nX is naturally identified with the set of positive divisors on X of degree n. Since S_n is a finite group, it follows that S^nX is a complex space such that the quotient map $\pi : X^n \to S^nX$ is holomorphic [22, Proposition 49A.16]. The universal property of the quotient is that if Y is any complex space, a holomorphic map $X^n \to Y$ factors as π followed by a holomorphic map $S^nX \to Y$ if and only if it is S_n-invariant.

Note that π is an open map. Indeed, if $V \subset X^n$ is open, then $\pi(V)$ is open if and only if the saturation of V by S_n is open. Since S_n acts on X^n via homeomorphisms, the saturation of V is a union of open sets, hence open.

We shall now construct explicit charts on S^nX. They will be used later. They show that S^nX is in fact a complex manifold. Consider the divisor $D = k_1p_1 + \cdots + k_mp_m \in$

S^nX, where $p_1, \ldots, p_m \in X$ are distinct and $k_1, \ldots, k_m > 0$, $k_1 + \cdots + k_m = n$. Let U_i be a neighbourhood of p_i in X such that $U_i \cap U_j = \varnothing$ whenever $i \neq j$. Furthermore, assume there are local coordinates $\varphi_i : U_i \to \mathbb{C}$. Let $U = U_1^{k_1} \times \cdots \times U_m^{k_m}$. Then $\pi(U)$ is a neighbourhood of D in S^nX.

If $\sigma \in S_n$, either $\sigma(U) = U$ or $\sigma(U) \cap U = \varnothing$. The set G of all permutations $\sigma \in S_n$ such that $\sigma(U) = U$ is a subgroup of S_n. Then $\pi(U) = U/G$.

Define $\rho_i : \mathbb{C}^{k_i} \to \mathbb{C}^{k_i}$, $\rho_i(z) = \left(\sum_{l=1}^{k_i} z_l^1, \ldots, \sum_{l=1}^{k_i} z_l^{k_i} \right)$. Then let

$$\varphi = \left(\rho_1 \circ \underbrace{(\varphi_1 \times \cdots \times \varphi_1)}_{k_1 \text{ times}} \right) \times \cdots \times \left(\rho_m \circ \underbrace{(\varphi_m \times \cdots \times \varphi_m)}_{k_m \text{ times}} \right).$$

Then φ is a holomorphic map $U \to \mathbb{C}^n$ and is clearly G-invariant, so induces a holomorphic map $\tilde{\varphi} : \pi(U) \to \mathbb{C}^n$. We wish to show that the image of $\tilde{\varphi}$ is open and $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a biholomorphism onto the image.

Firstly, we shall show that S^nX is of pure dimension n. Let $\Omega \subset X^n$ be the subset of $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ in X^n such that for $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$, $x_i \neq x_j$ whenever $i \neq j$. Then Ω is open and dense in X^n. Furthermore, S_n acts freely (and, since S_n is finite, properly discontinuously) on Ω, implying that $\Omega/S_n \subset S^nX$ is an n-dimensional connected complex manifold. Then the image of $\tilde{\varphi}$ is open and $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a biholomorphism onto the image if it is injective [22, Proposition 46A.1].

We shall show that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is injective by showing for $i = 1, \ldots, m$ that if $\rho_i(z) = \rho_i(z')$, then z' is a permutation of z. For $j = 1, \ldots, k_i$, let

$$s_j : \mathbb{C}^{k_i} \to \mathbb{C}, \quad s_j(z_1, \ldots, z_{k_i}) = \sum_{1 \leq l_1 < l_2 < \cdots < l_j \leq k_i} z_{l_1} z_{l_2} \cdots z_{l_j}.$$

Then s_j is the *jth elementary symmetric function in k_i variables*. It is well known that the polynomial

$$(x - z_1)(x - z_2) \cdots (x - z_{k_i}) = x^{k_i} - s_1(z_1, \ldots, z_{k_i}) x^{k_i - 1} + \cdots + (-1)^{k_i} s_{k_i}(z_1, \ldots, z_{k_i})$$

shows that the values $z_1, \ldots, z_{k_i}$ are determined uniquely up to permutation by the values $s_1(z_1, \ldots, z_{k_i}), \ldots, s_{k_i}(z_1, \ldots, z_{k_i})$. One of Newton's identities [28] states that for $1 \leq r \leq k_i$,

$$\sum_{l=1}^{k_i} z_l^r + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \left((-1)^j s_j \sum_{l=1}^{k_i} z_l^{r-j} \right) + (-1)^r r s_r = 0.$$

It follows that $s_1, \ldots, s_{k_i}$ are uniquely determined by $\sum_{l=1}^{k_i} z_l^1, \ldots, \sum_{l=1}^{k_i} z_l^{k_i}$, so $z_1, \ldots, z_{k_i}$ are determined up to permutation by $\sum_{l=1}^{k_i} z_l^1, \ldots, \sum_{l=1}^{k_i} z_l^{k_i}$. It follows that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is injective and hence is a chart on $S^n X$.

2.2.3 The Jacobi Map

Now assume that X is a compact Riemann surface of genus 1. Then there is a lattice Γ in $\mathbb{C}$ such that X is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma$. This allows us to identify X with the complex Lie group $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma$. The Lie group structure on X thus obtained is auxiliary and none of the results in this thesis depend on the choice of structure. For points $p_1, \ldots, p_n \in X$ we can consider the divisor $p_1 + \cdots + p_n \in S^n X$, where here the symbol $+$ denotes the formal addition of points. Alternatively, we can consider the point $p_1 + \cdots + p_n \in X$, where $+$ denotes the Lie group addition in X. We trust that using the same symbol $+$ for both will not cause confusion. The *Jacobi map* is the map

$$\psi : S^n X \to X,\ k_1 p_1 + \cdots + k_m p_m \mapsto k_1 p_1 + \cdots + k_m p_m,$$

where ψ maps the divisor $k_1 p_1 + \cdots + k_m p_m$ to the Lie group sum $k_1 p_1 + \cdots + k_m p_m$. The map ψ is holomorphic, as it is induced by the map $X^n \to X$, $(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \mapsto z_1 + \cdots + z_n$, which is holomorphic and S_n-invariant.

It is well known that the map ψ is a $\mathbb{P}_{n-1}$-bundle over X. We have been unable to track down a good reference for this fact, so will present our own argument.

We shall firstly show that ψ is a bundle. Let $s_n : X \to X$, $z \mapsto nz$. Then s_n is a holomorphic n^2-sheeted unbranched covering map. Fix $p \in X$ and let U be an evenly covered neighbourhood of p. Then there exists a local section $\sigma : U \to X$ of s_n. Let

$$h : U \times \psi^{-1}(0) \to \psi^{-1}(U),\ (x, p_1 + \cdots + p_n) \mapsto (p_1 + \sigma(x)) + \cdots + (p_n + \sigma(x)).$$

We claim that h gives a local trivialisation of ψ.

To show that h commutes with the projection $U \times \psi^{-1}(0) \to U$, note that for all $(x, p_1 + \cdots + p_n) \in U \times \psi^{-1}(0)$, we have $\psi(h(x, p_1 + \cdots + p_n)) = n\sigma(x) = x$.

The map h is clearly bijective, with inverse

$$h^{-1} : \psi^{-1}(U) \to U \times \psi^{-1}(0), \quad d_1 + \cdots + d_n \mapsto \Big(\psi(d_1 + \cdots + d_n),$$
$$(d_1 - \sigma(\psi(d_1 + \cdots + d_n))) + \cdots + (d_n - \sigma(\psi(d_1 + \cdots + d_n)))\Big).$$

Since the map $U \times X^n \to X^n$, $(x, p_1, \ldots, p_n) \mapsto (p_1 + \sigma(x), \ldots, p_n + \sigma(x))$, is holomorphic, postcomposing it with the projection map $X^n \to S^n X$, we obtain an S_n-invariant holomorphic map $X^n \to S^n X$. This induces the map h, which is holomorphic by the universal property of the quotient. A similar argument shows that h^{-1} is holomorphic and so is a trivialisation of ψ. Hence ψ is a bundle over X. Finally, we determine its fibre.

Fix $p \in X$ and $D \in \psi^{-1}(p)$. Then since $\deg(D) = n > 0$, we have $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_D) = 0$. By the Riemann-Roch theorem, $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_D) = n$, so $\mathbb{P}H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_D)$ is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_{n-1}$. Let $\{\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n\}$ be a basis of $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_D)$. The following proposition is well known. See [15, V.4 Proposition 4.3].

Lemma 11. *The map*

$$\kappa : \mathbb{P}_{n-1} \to \psi^{-1}(p),\ [a_1, \ldots, a_n] \mapsto (a_1\eta_1 + \cdots + a_n\eta_n) + D,$$

is a biholomorphism.

It follows immediately that ψ is a $\mathbb{P}_{n-1}$-bundle over X. Since both $\mathbb{P}_{n-1}$ and X are Oka, ψ is a fibre bundle with Oka fibre and Oka base. So we have the following.

Proposition 12. *Let X be a compact Riemann surface of genus 1. Then for $n \geq 1$, S^nX is an Oka manifold.*

For $f \in R_n$, let $D_0(f) = \max\{0, (f)\} \in S^nX$ be the divisor of zeroes of f, and let $D_\infty(f) = \max\{0, -(f)\}$ be the divisor of poles of f.

The following lemma is part of the proof of Theorem 1.2.1 in [31]. We present a simplified version of Namba's proof.

Lemma 13 (Namba)**.** *The maps $D_0, D_\infty : R_n \to S^nX$ are holomorphic.*

Proof. We shall show that D_0 is holomorphic. Fix $f \in R_n$ and let $D_0(f) = k_1p_1 + \cdots + k_mp_m$, where $k_1 + \cdots + k_m = n$ and $p_1, \ldots, p_m \in X$ are distinct. Then, as in the proof of Proposition 7, we can take a neighbourhood W of f in R_n, such that there exist pairwise disjoint neighbourhoods $U_1, \ldots, U_m$ of $p_1, \ldots, p_m$ respectively, such that every $g \in W$ has the same number of zeroes as f in each U_i, and g has no poles in any of the sets $\bar{U}_1, \ldots, \bar{U}_m$. Furthermore, we can assume that in local coordinates, $U_1, \ldots, U_m$ are discs.

Since R_n is a reduced complex space, we can take W so small that it can be identified with a subvariety of an open subset Ω of $\mathbb{C}^k$ for some k. Shrinking Ω if necessary, we can ensure that for every $i = 1, \ldots, m$, the evaluation map $e_i : W \times U_i \to \mathbb{C}$ extends to a holomorphic map

$$\tilde{e}_i : \Omega \times U_i \to \mathbb{C}.$$

For $y \in \Omega$, let $\tilde{e}_{i,y} : U_i \to \mathbb{C}$, $\tilde{e}_{i,y}(w) = \tilde{e}_i(y, w)$. It follows from the proof of Proposition 7 that we may assume that Ω is so small that if $\varepsilon = \min_{\partial U_i} |f| > 0$, then

for all $y \in \Omega$,

$$\max_{\partial U_i} |\tilde{e}_{i,y} - f| < \epsilon.$$

Then Rouché's theorem implies that $\tilde{e}_{i,y}$ has k_i zeroes in U_i. Let these zeroes in local coordinates be denoted $z_{i1}(y), \ldots, z_{ik_i}(y)$, where $\sum_i k_i = m$. It is a well-known application of the argument principle [1, p. 153–154] that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} z_{ij}(y)^p = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial U_i} \frac{\partial \tilde{e}_i}{\partial w}(y, w) \cdot \frac{w^p}{\tilde{e}_i(y, w)}\, dw$$

for $p = 1, \ldots, k_i$. So $\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} z_{ij}(y)^p$ defines a holomorphic function $\Omega \to \mathbb{C}$. But if $y \in W$, then

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k_1} z_{1j}(y), \ldots, \sum_{j=1}^{k_1} z_{1j}(y)^{k_1}, \ldots, \sum_{j=1}^{k_m} z_{mj}(y), \ldots, \sum_{j=1}^{k_m} z_{mj}(y)^{k_m} \right)$$

are the local coordinates of $D_0(y)$ under the standard chart on $S^n X$ centred at $D_0(f)$ (see Subsection 2.2.2). Hence D_0 is holomorphic. The map D_∞ can be shown to be holomorphic by applying the above argument to the reciprocal of the evaluation map. □

2.2.4 The Theta Function

A reference for this subsection is [29, p. 34–35, p. 50].

Let Γ be a lattice in $\mathbb{C}$. We may assume that $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$, for $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\mathrm{Im}(\tau) > 0$. Then let

$$\theta(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\pi i[n^2\tau + 2nz]}.$$

This series converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$ and so defines an entire function $\theta : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$, the *Jacobi theta function of* Γ.

The function θ is classical with well-understood properties. For every $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\theta(z+1) = \theta(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta(z+\tau) = e^{-\pi i(\tau + 2z)}\theta(z).$$

Furthermore, θ has a simple zero at $\frac{1}{2}(1+\tau)$. The translation properties of θ imply that θ has a simple zero at each point on the translated lattice $\frac{1}{2}(1+\tau)+\Gamma$. These are the only zeroes of θ.

For $x \in \mathbb{C}$, let $\theta^{(x)}(z) = \theta(z - \frac{1}{2}(1+\tau) - x)$. Then $\theta^{(x)}$ has simple zeroes on $x+\Gamma$.

The following theorem relates theta functions with elliptic functions. For the proof, see [29, p. 34–35, p. 50].

Theorem 14. *If $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i - y_i) \in \Gamma$, then*

$$f(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \theta^{(x_i)}(z) \Big/ \prod_{i=1}^{n} \theta^{(y_i)}(z),$$

is a Γ-periodic meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$. Conversely, suppose that g is a Γ-periodic meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$ with zeroes $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ modulo Γ and poles $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ modulo Γ, counted with multiplicity. Then $\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i - y_i) \in \Gamma$ and there exists $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $g = cf$, where f is the meromorphic function defined above.

The above theorem is essentially an explicit version of Abel's theorem for the torus [8, Section 20.8]. Indeed, let X be a compact Riemann surface of genus 1. Recall the zero and polar divisor maps $D_0, D_\infty : R_n \to S^n X$ and the Jacobi map $\psi : S^n X \to X$. Then Abel's theorem for the torus states that if $D_1, D_2 \in S^n X$ have no common points, then there exists a holomorphic map $f : X \to \mathbb{P}$ with $D_0(f) = D_1$ and $D_\infty(f) = D_2$ if and only if $\psi(D_1) = \psi(D_2)$.

Let

$$B_n = \{(D_1, D_2) \in S^n X \times S^n X : D_1 \text{ and } D_2 \text{ have no points in common}\}$$

for $n \geq 2$. Then B_n is an open subset of $S^n X \times S^n X$. Let

$$C_n = \{(D_1, D_2) \in S^n X \times S^n X : \psi(D_1) = \psi(D_2)\}.$$

Then C_n is a $(2n-1)$-dimensional subvariety of $S^n X \times S^n X$. Also, $Q_n = B_n \cap C_n$ is a $(2n-1)$-dimensional subvariety of B_n.

By Lemma 13 the map $(D_0, D_\infty) : R_n \to Q_n$ is holomorphic. By Abel's theorem, its image lies in Q_n. We will now provide a holomorphic section of this map on a neighbourhood of each point of Q_n. This is needed for Theorem 15 below.

Let $D_1 = p_1 + \cdots + p_n$ and $D_2 = q_1 + \cdots + q_n$ be divisors on X such that $(D_1, D_2) \in Q_n$. Then we can take neighbourhoods U_i, V_i around each p_i, q_i respectively, such that $U_i \cap V_j = \varnothing$, $U_i = U_j$ if $p_i = p_j$, $V_i = V_j$ if $q_i = q_j$, $U_i \cap U_j = \varnothing$ if $p_i \neq p_j$ and $V_i \cap V_j = \varnothing$ if $q_i \neq q_j$. Furthermore, we may assume that there exist holomorphic sections $\xi_i : U_i \to \mathbb{C}$ and $\eta_i : V_i \to \mathbb{C}$ of the quotient map $\pi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}/\Gamma$.

If $(p'_1, \ldots, p'_n) \in U_1 \times \cdots \times U_n$ and $(q'_1, \ldots, q'_n) \in V_1 \times \cdots \times V_n$, such that $(p'_1 + \cdots + p'_n, q'_1 + \cdots + q'_n) \in Q_n$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\xi_i(p_i) - \eta_i(q_i)) \in \Gamma$. We can define a function $\Theta : (U_1 \times \cdots \times U_n \times V_1 \times \cdots \times V_n) \cap \pi^{-1}(Q_n) \to R_n$ by

$$\Theta(p'_1, \ldots, p'_n, q'_1, \ldots, q'_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \theta^{(\xi_i(p'_i))} \Big/ \prod_{i=1}^{n} \theta^{(\eta_i(q'_i))},$$

where $\pi : X^n \times X^n \to S^n X \times S^n X$ is the projection. The map Θ is invariant under the action of $S_n \times S_n$, so it induces a local section of the map $(D_0, D_\infty) : R_n \to Q_n$.

By the universal property $(*)$ in Theorem 8, the local section is holomorphic if the map Θ is holomorphic. But the map Θ is holomorphic if the map

$$(U_1 \times \cdots \times U_n \times V_1 \times \cdots \times V_n) \times X \to \mathbb{P},$$
$$((p_1, \ldots, p_n, q_1, \ldots, q_n), z) \mapsto \Theta(p_1, \ldots, p_n, q_1, \ldots, q_n)(z),$$

is holomorphic. But this follows from the fact that

$$\theta^{(\xi_i(p'_i))}(z) = \theta(z - \frac{1}{2}(1 + \tau) - \xi_i(p'_i)),$$

which varies holomorphically with p'_i and z. Similarly, $\theta^{(\eta_i(q'_i))}(z)$ varies holomorphically with q'_i and z.

2.2.5 The Divisor Map

The following theorem and its proof can be found in the work of Namba [31, proof of Theorem 1.2.1]. Our proof is simpler than Namba's proof, as it only covers the special case when X has genus 1.

Theorem 15. *The map $(D_0, D_\infty) : R_n \to Q_n$, $n \geq 2$, is a holomorphic principal $\mathbb{C}^*$-bundle.*

Proof. Lemma 13 implies that the map (D_0, D_∞) is holomorphic. Given any pair of divisors $(D_1, D_2) \in Q_n$, there exists a neighbourhood U of (D_1, D_2) in Q_n with a holomorphic section $\Theta : U \to R_n$ defined as above.

Let $h : \mathbb{C}^* \times U \to R_n$,

$$h(\alpha, (D_1', D_2')) = \alpha\Theta(D_1', D_2').$$

Theorem 14 implies that h is a bijection $\mathbb{C}^* \times U \to (D_0, D_\infty)^{-1}(U)$. We claim that h is holomorphic. Let $\Phi_1 : \mathbb{C}^* \times R_n \to R_n$, $(\alpha, g) \mapsto \alpha g$. Then $h = \Phi_1 \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}^*} \times \Theta)$, so h is holomorphic if Φ_1 is. By the universal property $(*)$ in Theorem 8, Φ_1 is holomorphic if the map $\Phi_2 : \mathbb{C}^* \times R_n \times X \to \mathbb{P}$, $(\alpha, g, x) \mapsto \alpha g(x)$, is holomorphic. But $\Phi_2 = \Phi_3 \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}^*} \times e)$, where e is the evaluation map and $\Phi_3 : \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{P} \mapsto \mathbb{P}$, $(\alpha, z) \mapsto \alpha z$. Since Φ_3 is clearly holomorphic, it follows that h is as well.

We now show that h^{-1} is holomorphic and hence is a local trivialisation of (D_0, D_∞). For any $f \in (D_0, D_\infty)^{-1}(U)$, $\Theta(D_0(f), D_\infty(f))$ is a meromorphic function with the same zeroes and poles as f. Hence $f/\Theta(D_0(f), D_\infty(f))$ is a nonzero constant function. We can then define a map

$$\kappa : (D_0, D_\infty)^{-1}(U) \to \mathbb{C}^*,\ \kappa(f) = f/\Theta(D_0(f), D_\infty(f)).$$

So $h^{-1} = (\kappa, (D_0, D_\infty))$.

It remains to show that κ is holomorphic. Fixing $f \in (D_0, D_\infty)^{-1}(U)$, choose $a \in X$

such that $f(a) \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Take the neighbourhood

$$W = \{g \in R_n : g(a) \in \mathbb{C}^*\} \cap (D_0, D_\infty)^{-1}(U)$$

of f. On W, $\kappa(g) = g(a)/\Theta(D_0(g), D_\infty(g))(a)$ is holomorphic in g. □

2.2.6 Nonsingularity of R_n

As before, let X be a compact Riemann surface of genus 1 and for $n \geq 2$, let R_n denote the set of degree n holomorphic maps $X \to \mathbb{P}$. We can now prove the following theorem, a special case of Theorem 10. See [31, Proposition 1.1.4].

Theorem 16 (Namba)**.** *For $n \geq 2$, the complex space R_n is a complex manifold of dimension $2n$.*

Proof. Choose $f \in R_n$. We shall show that f has a smooth neighbourhood. Since f has finitely many branch points, Lemma 9 allows us to assume that $D_0(f)$ and $D_\infty(f)$ each consist of n distinct points. Theorem 15 implies that it is sufficient to prove that Q_n is nonsingular in a neighbourhood of the point $(D_0(f), D_\infty(f))$. Let $D_0(f) = p_1 + \cdots + p_n$ and $D_\infty(f) = q_1 + \cdots + q_n$ where $p_i \neq p_j$ and $q_i \neq q_j$ when $i \neq j$. Take pairwise disjoint neighbourhoods $U_1, \ldots, U_n$ and $V_1, \ldots, V_n$ of $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ and $q_1, \ldots, q_n$ respectively such that there are local coordinates, $x_i : U_i \to \mathbb{C}$ and $y_i : V_i \to \mathbb{C}$ at p_i and q_i respectively. Then we know from Subsection 2.2.2 that the map $x_1 \times \cdots \times x_n \times y_1 \times \cdots \times y_n$ induces a chart on $S^nX \times S^nX$ at (D_1, D_2). This chart provides a biholomorphism of Q_n with the set of points $(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ in $U_1 \times \cdots \times U_n \times V_1 \times \cdots \times V_n$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i - y_i \in \Gamma$. This set is the intersection of a union of disjoint hyperplanes in $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ with an open subset and so is smooth. It follows that Q_n is a manifold of dimension $2n-1$. Then by Theorem 15, R_n is nonsingular of dimension $2n$. □

2.2.7 The Space R_n/M

This subsection is drawn from the work of Namba [31, Section 1.3]. Let R_n be as before, with $n \geq 2$, and let M be the group of Möbius transformations. Recall that M acts on R_n by postcomposition. Clearly the action is free. Our goal in this subsection is to show that the orbit space R_n/M is a complex manifold such that the projection map $\pi : R_n \to R_n/M$ is a principal M-bundle. For this we shall use the following special case of a theorem of Holmann [20, Satz 21, 24].

Theorem 17 (Holmann)**.** *Let X be a complex space and let G be a complex Lie group acting freely and properly on X. Then the orbit space X/G possesses a complex structure such that the quotient map $\pi : X \to X/G$ is a holomorphic principal G-bundle. Furthermore, if X is a complex manifold, then so is X/G.*

Note that since a principal G-bundle always possesses local holomorphic sections, it follows that X/G has the universal property of the quotient: if Y is any complex space, a holomorphic map $X \to Y$ factors as π followed by a holomorphic map $X/G \to Y$ if and only if it is G-invariant.

Let X and Y be compact complex manifolds. Let $O(X, Y)$ denote the set of all open holomorphic maps $X \to Y$. Then $O(X, Y)$ is an open subset of $\mathcal{O}(X, Y)$ [30, Proposition 1] and so is naturally equipped with Douady's complex structure as in Theorem 8. Furthermore, it is well known that the group $\mathrm{Aut}(Y)$ is a complex Lie group and acts holomorphically on $O(X, Y)$ by postcomposition [4, Theorem D]. Namba [30, Theorem 1] used the previous theorem to prove the following result.

Theorem 18 (Namba)**.** *The orbit space $O(X, Y)/\mathrm{Aut}(Y)$ admits a complex structure such that the quotient map $\pi : O(X, Y) \to O(X, Y)/\mathrm{Aut}(Y)$ is a holomorphic principal $\mathrm{Aut}(Y)$-bundle.*

We shall prove Theorem 18 in our special case, when X is a compact Riemann

surface and $Y = \mathbb{P}$. This allows our proof to be more elementary than Namba's proof. Then $O(X, Y)$ is just the set of nonconstant holomorphic maps $X \to Y$. Furthermore, $\mathrm{Aut}(Y)$ is the group M of Möbius transformations. Lemma 9 implies that M acts holomorphically on $O(X, Y)$. By Theorem 17 it remains to show the map

$$\Phi : M \times O(X, \mathbb{P}) \to O(X, \mathbb{P}) \times O(X, \mathbb{P}), (g, f) \mapsto (g \circ f, f),$$

is proper. Let $K \subset O(X, \mathbb{P}) \times O(X, \mathbb{P})$ be compact. Let (g_k, f_k) be a sequence in $\Phi^{-1}(K)$. Since K is compact, we may assume that $(g_k \circ f_k, f_k)$ converges to (h, f) in K. Furthermore, Proposition 7 allows us to assume that the f_k, f and h are all of the same degree $n \geq 1$.

We can identify M with $\mathbb{P}\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. If $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ denotes the vector space of $n \times n$ matrices with complex entries, there is a natural inclusion map $\iota : \mathbb{P}\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{P}\mathrm{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Since $\mathbb{P}\mathrm{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is compact, by passing to a subsequence, we can assume there exists $A \in \mathbb{P}\mathrm{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that $g_k \to A$ in $\mathbb{P}\mathrm{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$. If $\det(A) \neq 0$, then $A \in M$ and so $g_k \to A$ in M and we are done.

Otherwise $\det(A) = 0$. Then $\ker(A)$ corresponds to a unique point $z_0 \in \mathbb{P}$. We claim that for some $L \in \mathbb{P}$, $g_k(z) \to L$ for every $z \in \mathbb{P} \setminus \{z_0\}$. Indeed, we claim that $g_k \to L$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{P} \setminus \{z_0\}$. To show this, we can assume that $z_0 = \infty$. Take $a_k, b_k, c_k, d_k \in \mathbb{C}$ with $a_k d_k - b_k c_k \neq 0$ such that for all $z \in \mathbb{P}$, $g_k(z) = (a_k z + b_k)/(c_k z + d_k)$. We can assume that $|a_k|^2 + |b_k|^2 + |c_k|^2 + |d_k|^2 = 1$. Then, passing to a subsequence, we can assume that a_k, b_k, c_k, d_k converge to $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$ respectively, where $|a|^2 + |b|^2 + |c|^2 + |d|^2 = 1$. Since $z_0 = \infty$, it follows that $a = c = 0$ and so $|b|^2 + |d|^2 = 1$. Hence $L = b/d$.

If $d \neq 0$, let $\tilde{K} \subset \mathbb{C}$ be compact and let $C = \sup_{z \in \tilde{K}} |z|$. Then for all $z \in \tilde{K}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{a_k z + b_k}{c_k z + d_k} - \frac{b}{d} \right| &= \left| \frac{(da_k - bc_k)z + (b_k d - bd_k)}{d(c_k z + d_k)} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{|da_k - bc_k| \cdot C}{|d| \cdot |c_k z + d_k|} + \frac{|b_k d - bd_k|}{|d| \cdot |c_k z + d_k|}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then for k large enough, $|da_k - bc_k| < \varepsilon$ and $|b_k d - bd_k| < \varepsilon$. Furthermore, since $|c_k z| \leq |c_k| \cdot C$, for k large enough, $|c_k z| < |d|/4 \neq 0$ and $|d_k| > 3|d|/4$. Then

$$|c_k z + d_k| \geq ||c_k z| - |d_k|| > \frac{|d|}{2},$$

and so $|c_k z + d_k|^{-1} < 2/|d|$. Then

$$\left| \frac{a_k z + b_k}{c_k z + d_k} - \frac{b}{d} \right| \leq \frac{2C\varepsilon}{|d|^2} + \frac{2\varepsilon}{|d|^2}.$$

This shows that (after passing to a subsequence) the sequence (g_k) converges to the constant map $L = b/a$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{P} \backslash \{z_0\}$.

If $d = 0$, then the above argument shows that $1/g_k$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$ to 0.

It follows that h is constant, contradicting h having degree $n \geq 1$.

Since the action of M on $O(X, \mathbb{P})$ maps R_n to R_n, it follows that R_n/M is a complex manifold such that the projection map $\pi : R_n \to R_n/M$ is a holomorphic principal M-bundle. Furthermore, R_n/M possesses the universal property of the quotient.

2.3 Elliptic Functions of Degree 2

2.3.1 Elliptic Curves

Let X be a compact Riemann surface of genus 1. As before, let R_n denote the set of degree n holomorphic maps $X \to \mathbb{P}$. The goal of this section is to show that R_2 is an Oka manifold. In fact, we will show that R_2 is a homogeneous space.

For this section we will represent X as the quotient of $\mathbb{C}$ by a lattice Γ. We will begin by reviewing some basics.

Let $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ be linearly independent over $\mathbb{R}$. Then $\Gamma = \omega_1\mathbb{Z} + \omega_2\mathbb{Z}$ is a lattice in $\mathbb{C}$. We say that Γ is *square* if $\omega_1 = e^{i\pi/2}\omega_2$ and that Γ is *hexagonal* if $\omega_1 = e^{i\pi/3}\omega_2$.

The quotient $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma$ is a Riemann surface of genus 1, a 1-dimensional complex torus. Furthermore, $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma$ is a complex Lie group and the quotient map $\pi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}/\Gamma$ is holomorphic, a Lie group homomorphism and the universal covering map.

For two lattices Γ, Γ' in $\mathbb{C}$, every non-constant holomorphic map $f : \mathbb{C}/\Gamma \to \mathbb{C}/\Gamma'$ fixing 0 lifts to a holomorphic map of the form $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$, $z \mapsto \alpha z$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $\alpha\Gamma \subset \Gamma'$. It follows that f is a homomorphism of Lie groups. Furthermore, f is a biholomorphism if and only if $\alpha\Gamma = \Gamma'$. Let A_Γ denote the group of automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma$ that fix 0. The following result is proved in [29, p. 64].

Proposition 19. *If $f \in A_\Gamma$ lifts to $z \mapsto \alpha z$, then:*

1. $\alpha \in \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$ *if* Γ *is a square lattice,*
2. $\alpha \in \left\{\pm 1, e^{\pm i\frac{\pi}{3}}, e^{\pm i\frac{2\pi}{3}}\right\}$ *if* Γ *is a hexagonal lattice,*
3. $\alpha \in \{\pm 1\}$ *otherwise.*

Thus A_Γ contains 4 *elements if* Γ *is a square lattice,* 6 *elements if* Γ *is hexagonal and* 2 *elements otherwise.*

If $t \in \mathbb{C}/\Gamma$, let $\tau_t : \mathbb{C}/\Gamma \to \mathbb{C}/\Gamma$, $z \mapsto z + t$, be the translation map. Then τ_t is a holomorphic automorphism of $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma$. Let $T_\Gamma = \{\tau_t : t \in \mathbb{C}/\Gamma\}$, a subgroup of the full automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\Gamma)$. Then $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\Gamma) = T_\Gamma \rtimes A_\Gamma$. Note that T_Γ is naturally identified with $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma$ itself; however we find it convenient to distinguish the two.

For the Riemann sphere $\mathbb{P}$, consider $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}/\Gamma, \mathbb{P})$, the set of holomorphic functions $f : \mathbb{C}/\Gamma \to \mathbb{P}$. If $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}/\Gamma, \mathbb{P})$ is not equal to the constant function ∞, we say f is an *elliptic function with respect to* Γ. A meromorphic function $g : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{P}$ is said to be *doubly periodic with respect to* Γ if for all $\omega \in \Gamma$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $f(z) = f(z + \omega)$. It

is clear that the set of elliptic functions and doubly periodic meromorphic functions with respect to Γ are in bijective correspondence via the equality $g = f \circ \pi$. The set of elliptic functions with respect to Γ then forms a field under the usual multiplication and addition of meromorphic functions.

Recall that the automorphism group of $\mathbb{P}$ is M, the Möbius group of transformations $g : \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{P}$ given by the formula

$$g(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d},$$

for $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$ with $ad - bc \neq 0$. A Möbius transformation is determined uniquely by where it takes three distinct points. The group M acts on $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}/\Gamma, \mathbb{P})$ via post-composition, with $g \cdot f = g \circ f$, $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}/\Gamma, \mathbb{P})$, $g \in M$. Similarly, $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\Gamma)$ acts on $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}/\Gamma, \mathbb{P})$ via precomposition with $f \cdot \tau = f \circ \tau^{-1}$, for $\tau \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\Gamma)$. The product group $M \times \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\Gamma)$ then acts on $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}/\Gamma, \mathbb{P})$ in the obvious way: $(g, \tau) \cdot f = g \circ f \circ \tau^{-1}$. Since the elements of M and $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\Gamma)$ are of degree 1, it follows that R_n is invariant under the action of $M \times \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\Gamma)$.

2.3.2 The Weierstrass $\wp$-function

We shall use [13] as a general reference for this subsection.

Letting $\Gamma' = \Gamma \setminus \{0\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the *Eisenstein series* $G_k(\Gamma)$ by

$$G_k(\Gamma) = \sum_{\omega \in \Gamma'} \omega^{-k}.$$

The series converges absolutely for $k \geq 3$.

The *Weierstrass $\wp$-function* is the map $\wp : \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\wp(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\omega \in \Gamma'} \frac{1}{(z - \omega)^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2}.$$

This series converges uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$ and thus is a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$ with poles of order 2 precisely on the lattice Γ. Furthermore,

$\wp$ is an even function with $\wp(-z) = -\wp(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Differentiating term by term, we see that

$$\wp'(z) = -2\sum_{\omega\in\Gamma} \frac{1}{(z-\omega)^3}.$$

It is clear that $\wp'$ is doubly periodic with respect to Γ and an odd function, with $\wp'(-z) = -\wp'(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $\wp$ is even, it follows that $\wp$ is also doubly periodic with respect to Γ.

The functions $\wp$, $\wp'$ induce elliptic functions and hence members of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}/\Gamma, \mathbb{P})$. The $\wp$-function is of degree 2, while $\wp'$ is of degree 3. These two functions are related by the following theorem.

Theorem 20. *Let $g_2 = 60G_4$ and $g_3 = 140G_6$. Then $\wp$ and $\wp'$ satisfy the* Weierstrass equation

$$(\wp')^2 = 4\wp^3 - g_2\wp - g_3.$$

The functions $\wp$ and $\wp'$ generate the field of elliptic functions over $\mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 21. *The field of elliptic functions on $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma$ is $\mathbb{C}(\wp, \wp')$.*

2.3.3 Degree 2 Case

Let $\omega_3 = \omega_1 + \omega_2 \in \Gamma$, and for $i = 1, 2, 3$, let $b_i = \pi(\frac{\omega_i}{2}) \in \mathbb{C}/\Gamma$. Then if $z \in \mathbb{C}/\Gamma$ and $z = -z$, it follows that either $z = 0$ or $z = b_i$ for some i. Now $\wp'$ is an odd function of degree 3, implying that $\wp'$ has a simple zero at b_1, b_2, b_3. Then 0, b_1, b_2, b_3 are branch points of $\wp$.

Recall the Hurwitz formula [8, Section 17.14] for an n-sheeted branched covering of the Riemann sphere by a genus g surface:

$$g = \frac{b}{2} - n + 1,$$

where b is the branching order of the covering. In the case $g = 1$ we obtain

$$b = 2n.$$

Since $\wp$ is of degree 2, this implies that 0, b_1, b_2, b_3 are the only branch points of $\wp$.

Recall that the action of $M \times \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\Gamma)$ on $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}/\Gamma, \mathbb{P})$ leaves R_d invariant. Since $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\Gamma)$, there is an induced action of $M \times \mathbb{C}/\Gamma$ on R_2. It is shown in [21] that this action is transitive.

Proposition 22. *The action of $M \times \mathbb{C}/\Gamma$ on R_2 is transitive.*

We provide a short proof for the convenience of the reader.

Proof. If $f \in R_2$, then there exists $(g_1, t) \in M \times \mathbb{C}/\Gamma$ such that $f_1 = g_1 \circ f \circ \tau_t^{-1} \in R_2$ has a double pole at 0 and is zero at b_1. There also exists $g_2 \in M$ such that $f_2 = g_2 \circ \wp \in R_2$ has a double pole at 0 and a double zero at b_1. Then the quotient f_1/f_2 is an elliptic function with a pole of order at most 1 at b_1 and is holomorphic elsewhere. Since $R_1 = \varnothing$, it follows that $f_1 = cf_2$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Taking $g = g_1^{-1} \circ cg_2$, we see that $f = g \circ \wp \circ \tau_{-t}^{-1}$ and so the action is transitive. □

We shall now determine the stabiliser of $\wp$ under the action of $M \times \mathbb{C}/\Gamma$. Recall that $\wp$ has a double pole at 0. Let $y \in \mathbb{C}/\Gamma$ be a zero of $\wp$. Since $\wp$ is even, the other zero of $\wp$ is at $-y$ (which is equal to y only if Γ is the square lattice).

Now, if $(g, t) \in M \times \mathbb{C}/\Gamma$ such that

$$g \circ \wp \circ \tau_t^{-1} = \wp, \tag{2.3.1}$$

then the set of branch points of $g \circ \wp \circ \tau_t^{-1}$ is $S = \{0, b_1, b_2, b_3\}$. This implies that τ_t acts as a permutation on S and so $t \in S$. Hence $t = -t$, which implies that $\wp \circ \tau_t^{-1}$ is even, since

$$\wp \circ \tau_t^{-1}(-z) = \wp(-z - t) = \wp(z + t) = \wp(z - t) = \wp \circ \tau_t^{-1}(z).$$

Let $h \in M$ such that $h \circ \wp \circ \tau_t^{-1}(0) = \infty$ and $h \circ \wp \circ \tau_t^{-1}(y) = 0$. Then $h \circ \wp \circ \tau_t^{-1}(-y) = 0$ and so $f = h \circ \wp \circ \tau_t^{-1}$ has the same zeroes and poles as $\wp$. Hence $\wp/f$ is a constant $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Choosing h to be the unique Möbius transformation for which this constant is 1, we have shown that for any $t \in S$, there exists a unique $g = g_t \in M$ satisfying (2.3.1). We have proved the following proposition.

Proposition 23. *The stabiliser of $\wp$ under the action of $M \times \mathbb{C}/\Gamma$ on R_2 is*

$$\mathrm{Stab}(\wp) = \{(g_t, t) : t \in S\}.$$

This group is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Now equip the set R_2 with the universal complex structure as in Theorem 8. We wish to show that R_2 is biholomorphic to $(M \times \mathbb{C}/\Gamma)/\mathrm{Stab}(\wp)$.

Firstly, we shall show $M \times \mathbb{C}/\Gamma$ acts holomorphically on R_2. By property $(*)$ in Theorem 8, the map $M \times \mathbb{C}/\Gamma \times R_2 \to R_2$, $(g, t, f) \mapsto g \circ f \circ \tau_{-t}$, is holomorphic if the map $M \times \mathbb{C}/\Gamma \times R_2 \times \mathbb{C}/\Gamma \to \mathbb{P}$, $(g, t, f, x) \mapsto g(f(x-t))$, is holomorphic. But this follows from the fact that the evaluation map $e : R_2 \times \mathbb{C}/\Gamma \to \mathbb{P}$ is holomorphic.

Now, consider the map $\Phi : M \times \mathbb{C}/\Gamma \to R_2$, $(g, t) \mapsto g \circ \wp \circ \tau_{-t}$. It is holomorphic and surjective. Furthermore, Φ is invariant under the action of $\mathrm{Stab}(\wp)$ and so descends to a holomorphic bijection $\tilde{\Phi} : (M \times \mathbb{C}/\Gamma)/\mathrm{Stab}(\wp) \to R_2$. Since $M \times \mathbb{C}/\Gamma$ is connected, R_2 is connected and so has pure dimension. It follows that $\tilde{\Phi}$ is a biholomorphism [22, Proposition 49.13]. Hence we have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 24. *Let X be a compact Riemann surface of genus 1 and R_2 the complex manifold of degree 2 maps $X \to \mathbb{P}$. Then R_2 is a homogeneous space and hence is an Oka manifold. Moreover, R_2 is biholomorphic to $(M \times X)/(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$.*

See [10, Proposition 5.5.1] for the proof that every homogeneous space is Oka.

2.4 A 9-sheeted Covering of R_3/M

The goal of this section is to show that there is a smooth cubic curve C in $\mathbb{P}_2$ such that $\mathbb{P}_2 \backslash C \times X$ is a 9-sheeted unbranched covering space of R_3/M. This result is due to Namba and we draw upon his work [31, Sections 1.3, 1.4].

In this chapter, it is more natural for us to think of C as a curve in the dual projective plane $\mathbb{P}_2^\vee$, which is of course biholomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_2$. We shall let $[a, b, c]$ denote the homogeneous coordinates of the point in $\mathbb{P}_2^\vee$ corresponding to the line $ax_1 + bx_2 + cx_3 = 0$ in $\mathbb{P}_2$.

Let X be a compact Riemann surface of genus 1. Let $\psi : S^n X \to X$, $n \geq 2$, be the Jacobi map and D_0, D_∞ the maps $R_n \to S^n X$ taking a function to its divisor of zeroes and poles respectively. Let $\beta = \psi \circ D_0 : R_n \to X$. Then β is holomorphic and M-invariant, so by the universal property of the quotient, it induces a holomorphic map $\chi : R_n/M \to X$ such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_n & \xrightarrow{D_0} & S^n X \\ {\scriptstyle \pi}\downarrow & \overset{\beta}{\searrow} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi} \\ R_n/M & \xrightarrow{\chi} & X \end{array}$$

From now on, we shall take $n = 3$. Fixing $p \in X$ and $D \in \psi^{-1}(p)$, since X has genus $g = 1$ and $\deg(D) = 3 > 2g - 2 = 0$, it follows that $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_D) = 0$ [8, Theorem 17.16]. The Riemann-Roch theorem implies that $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_D) = 3$. Thus $\mathbb{P}H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_D)$ is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_2^\vee$. Let $\{\eta^1, \eta^2, \eta^3\}$ be a basis of $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_D)$. Then the map $\eta_p : X \to \mathbb{P}_2^\vee$, $x \mapsto [\eta^1(x), \eta^2(x), \eta^3(x)]$, is a holomorphic embedding of X in $\mathbb{P}_2^\vee$ [8, Theorem 17.22]. We shall denote the image of X under this map by C_p. By the degree-genus formula, C_p is a cubic curve [29, Section V.3, Proposition 2.15].

Now Lemma 11 states that the map

$$\kappa : \mathbb{P}_2 \to \psi^{-1}(p), \quad [a_1, a_2, a_3] \mapsto (a_1\eta^1 + a_2\eta^2 + a_3\eta^3) + D,$$

is a biholomorphism. Let $\nu = \kappa^{-1}$.

Proposition 25. *Let $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \psi^{-1}(p)$. Then $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = p$ if and only if there is a line passing through $\eta_p(x_1), \eta_p(x_2), \eta_p(x_3)$ that intersects C_p at $\eta_p(x_i)$ with multiplicity equal to the multiplicity of x_i in $x_1 + x_2 + x_3$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$.*

Proof. Let $x_1+x_2+x_3 = p$. Then Abel's theorem implies that there exists $f \in \beta^{-1}(p)$ such that $D_0(f) = x_1+x_2+x_3$. We claim that the point $[a_1, a_2, a_3] = \nu(D_0(f)) \in \mathbb{P}_2$ is dual to the desired line passing through $\eta_p(x_1), \eta_p(x_2), \eta_p(x_3)$. Indeed,

$$D_0(f) = (a_1\eta^1 + a_2\eta^2 + a_3\eta^3) + D.$$

Now if D does not contain the point x_i, then $a_1\eta^1(x_i)+a_2\eta^2(x_i)+a_3\eta^3(x_i) = 0$ with multiplicity equal to the multiplicity of x_i in $D_0(f)$ and we are done. Otherwise, we can assume that D contains the point x_1 with multiplicity n, and $D_0(f)$ contains x_1 with multiplicity m, for $1 \leq n, m \leq 3$. Then $a_1\eta^1 + a_2\eta^2 + a_3\eta^3$ has order $m - n$ at x_1. Now, we know that $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_D)$ is globally generated as X has genus $g = 1$ and $\deg(D) = 3 \geq 2g = 2$ [8, Theorem 17.19]. Hence one of η^1, η^2, η^3 will have a pole at x_1 of order n. Then in local coordinates z on X about x_1 we can find holomorphic functions $\tilde{\eta}^j$, for $j = 1, 2, 3$, such that locally $\eta^j(w) = \tilde{\eta}^j(w)/(w - z(x_1))^n$. Then $\eta_p(x_1) = [\tilde{\eta}^1(x_1), \tilde{\eta}^2(x_1), \tilde{\eta}^3(x_1)]$. We have

$$a_1\tilde{\eta}^1(w) + a_2\tilde{\eta}^2(w) + a_3\tilde{\eta}^3(w) = (w - z)^n(a_1\eta^1(w) + a_2\eta^2(w) + a_3\eta^3(w)).$$

It follows that the above expression has order m at x_1 and so we are done.

Conversely, suppose $[a_1, a_2, a_3]$ defines a line in $\mathbb{P}_2^\vee$ which intersects C_p at the points $\eta_p(x_1)$, $\eta_p(x_2)$, $\eta_p(x_3)$ with the desired multiplicities, where $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ are taken appropriately. Then for $i = 1, 2, 3$, it is easy to use the computations above to show

that $(a_1\eta^1 + a_2\eta^2 + a_3\eta^3) + D = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$. Then $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \in \psi^{-1}(p)$ and we are done. □

Now, any cubic curve in $\mathbb{P}_2^\vee$ can be given a group law by choosing an inflection point to be the identity 0 and then defining all collinear triples of points to sum to 0. Proposition 25 shows that when $p = 0$, the group structure induced by X on C_0 by the embedding η_0 is such a group law. Indeed, η_0 necessarily maps $0 \in X$ to an inflection point of C_0 as the divisor $0+0+0 \in \psi^{-1}(0)$ determines a line intersecting C_0 at $\eta_0(0)$ with multiplicity 3.

We shall now construct a 9-sheeted unbranched covering map $\mathbb{P}_2^\vee \backslash C_0 \times X \to R_3/M$. The construction relies on the following result of Namba [31, Lemma 1.3.6].

Proposition 26 (Namba)**.** *For all $p \in X$, there exists a biholomorphism $\chi^{-1}(p) \to \mathbb{P}_2^\vee \backslash C_p$.*

Namba's original proof covered the case when X was a Riemann surface of higher genus. We shall present our own proof of this result as it is significantly simpler when X is of genus 1.

Proof. Consider the following commuting diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \beta^{-1}(p) & \xrightarrow{(D_0, D_\infty)} & (\psi^{-1}(p) \times \psi^{-1}(p)) \backslash S & \xrightarrow{\nu \times \nu} & (\mathbb{P}_2 \times \mathbb{P}_2) \backslash \Delta \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & & \searrow^{\mu} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle L} \\ \chi^{-1}(p) & & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu}} & & \mathbb{P}_2^\vee \end{array}$$

If $x, y \in \mathbb{P}_2$ are distinct points, there exists a unique line $L(x, y) \in \mathbb{P}_2^\vee$ passing through x and y. Furthermore, L depends holomorphically on x and y. Letting Δ denote the diagonal of $\mathbb{P}_2 \times \mathbb{P}_2$, we obtain a holomorphic map $L : (\mathbb{P}_2 \times \mathbb{P}_2) \backslash \Delta \to \mathbb{P}_2^\vee$.

Let S denote the set of pairs of divisors in $\psi^{-1}(p) \times \psi^{-1}(p)$ that share at least one point. Then Abel's theorem implies that (D_0, D_∞) maps $\beta^{-1}(p)$ onto $(\psi^{-1}(p) \times$

$\psi^{-1}(p))\backslash S$. Lemma 11 implies that the map

$$\kappa : \mathbb{P}_2 \to \psi^{-1}(p), \quad [a_1, a_2, a_3] \mapsto (a_1\eta_1 + a_2\eta_2 + a_3\eta_3) + D,$$

is a biholomorphism. Then taking $\nu = \kappa^{-1}$, we see that $\nu \times \nu$ maps $(\psi^{-1}(p) \times \psi^{-1}(p))\backslash S$ biholomorphically onto an open subset of $(\mathbb{P}_2 \times \mathbb{P}_2)\backslash\Delta$ (it is not easy, nor necessary for us, to explicitly describe this open subset).

Let $\mu = L\circ(\nu\times\nu)\circ(D_0, D_\infty)|\beta^{-1}(p)$. Then μ is a holomorphic map $\beta^{-1}(p) \to \mathbb{P}_2^\vee$. We shall show that μ is M-invariant. Take $f \in \beta^{-1}(p)$ and $g \in M$. Let $r_0 = D_0(g \circ f)$, $r_\infty = D_\infty(g \circ f)$ and let $L_f = \mu(f)$. Then it is sufficient to show that the points $\nu(r_0), \nu(r_\infty)$ are both on L_f.

We shall firstly show that $\nu(r_0)$ is on L_f. If $\nu(D_0(f)) = [a_1, a_2, a_3]$ and $\nu(D_\infty(f)) = [b_1, b_2, b_3]$, it is sufficient to show that for some $\lambda, \lambda' \in \mathbb{C}$, $\nu(r_0) = [\lambda a_1 + \lambda' b_1, \lambda a_2 + \lambda' b_2, \lambda a_3 + \lambda' b_3]$. We can assume that $D = D_\infty(f)$, so $f \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_D)$. Then take $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$ with $ad - bc \neq 0$ such that $g \circ f = (af + b)/(cf + d)$. Then if $a = 0$, $r_0 = D_\infty(f)$, and we are done. Otherwise $a \neq 0$ and for $z \in X$, $(g \circ f)(z) = 0$ if $f(z) = -b/a$. Now, for some $h \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_D)$ we have $r_0 = (h) + D_\infty(f)$. Since r_0 is disjoint from $D_\infty(f)$, then $D_\infty(f) = D_\infty(h)$ and $r_0 = D_0(h)$. The function $af + b$ also has the same poles and zeroes as h, implying that for some $C \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $h = C(af + b)$. But

$$af + b = a(a_1\eta_1 + a_2\eta_2 + a_3\eta_3) + b(b_1\eta_1 + b_2\eta_2 + b_3\eta_3),$$

which implies that $\nu(r_0) = \nu(D_0(af + b)) = [aa_1 + bb_1, aa_2 + bb_2, aa_3 + bb_3]$, which is what we wanted to show. A similar argument using D_∞ shows that r_∞ is also on L_f.

Note that for each $p \in X$, M acts on $\beta^{-1}(p)$, so $\beta^{-1}(p)/M = \chi^{-1}(p)$. Since μ is M-invariant, it induces a holomorphic map $\tilde{\mu} : \chi^{-1}(p) \to \mathbb{P}_2^\vee$.

We shall now prove that $\tilde{\mu}$ yields the desired biholomorphism in Proposition 26. Firstly, we shall show that $\tilde{\mu}(\chi^{-1}(p)) = \mathbb{P}_2^\vee\backslash C_p$. This is equivalent to $\mu(\beta^{-1}(p)) =$

$\mathbb{P}_2^\vee \backslash C_p$. Take $(a,b) \in \mathbb{P}_2 \times \mathbb{P}_2$ such that $L(a,b) \in C_p$ and let $a = [a_1, a_2, a_3]$, $b = [b_1, b_2, b_3]$. Then for some $z \in X$, $L(a,b) = [\eta_1(z), \eta_2(z), \eta_3(z)]$. Then $a_1\eta_1(z) + a_2\eta_2(z) + a_3\eta_3(z) = 0$ and $b_1\eta_1(z) + b_2\eta_2(z) + b_3\eta_3(z) = 0$. For fixed $D \in \psi^{-1}(p)$, $\kappa(a) = (a_1\eta_1 + a_2\eta_2 + a_3\eta_3) + D$ and $\kappa(b) = (b_1\eta_1 + b_2\eta_2 + b_3\eta_3) + D$. But the functions $a_1\eta_1 + a_2\eta_2 + a_3\eta_3$ and $b_1\eta_1 + b_2\eta_2 + b_3\eta_3$ in $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_D)$ share the zero $z \in X$. Hence $\kappa(a)$ and $\kappa(b)$ share the point z and so $(\kappa(a), \kappa(b)) \in S$. Hence $\mu(\beta^{-1}(p)) \subset \mathbb{P}_2^\vee \backslash C_p$.

Now to show that each $L(a,b) \in \mathbb{P}_2^\vee \backslash C_p$ is in $\mu(\beta^{-1}(p))$, Abel's theorem implies that it is sufficient to show that $\kappa(a)$ and $\kappa(b)$ are disjoint. Let $a = [a_1, a_2, a_3]$, $b = [b_1, b_2, b_3]$. Then it is equivalent to show that the functions $a_1\eta_1 + a_2\eta_2 + a_3\eta_3$ and $b_1\eta_1 + b_2\eta_2 + b_3\eta_3$ have no common zeroes. But if for some $z \in X$, $(a_1\eta_1 + a_2\eta_2 + a_3\eta_3)(z) = 0 = (b_1\eta_1 + b_2\eta_2 + b_3\eta_3)(z)$, then $L(a,b) = [\eta_1(z), \eta_2(z), \eta_3(z)] \in C_p$. Hence $\mu(\beta^{-1}(p)) = \mathbb{P}_2^\vee \backslash C_p$.

To show that $\tilde{\mu}$ is injective, it is sufficient to show that if $x \in \mathbb{P}_2^\vee \backslash C_p$ and $f_1, f_2 \in \mu^{-1}(x)$, then there exists $g \in M$ such that $f_1 = g \circ f_2$. Let $\nu(D_0(f_1)) = a = [a_1, a_2, a_3]$ and $\nu(D_\infty(f_1)) = b = [b_1, b_2, b_3]$. We can assume that $D = D_\infty(f_1)$. Then $f_1 \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_D)$ and $D_0(f_1) = (f_1) + D$. Then for some $C \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $a_1\eta_1 + a_2\eta_2 + a_3\eta_3 = Cf_1$ and $b_1\eta_1 + b_2\eta_2 + b_3\eta_3$ is a constant function. Then if $\nu(D_0(f_2)) = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3]$ and $\nu(D_\infty(f_2)) = [\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3]$, there exist coefficients $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1\eta_1 + \alpha_2\eta_2 + \alpha_3\eta_3 &= c_1(a_1\eta_1 + a_2\eta_2 + a_3\eta_3) + c_2(b_1\eta_1 + b_2\eta_2 + b_3\eta_3) \\ &= c_1 f_1 + c_2 \end{aligned}$$

and $\beta_1\eta_1 + \beta_2\eta_2 + \beta_3\eta_3 = c_3 f_1 + c_4$. Since $D_0(f_2), D_\infty(f_2)$ are distinct, $c_1c_4 - c_2c_3 \neq 0$, and $g(z) = (c_1z + c_2)/(c_3z + c_4)$ is a Möbius transformation. Moreover it is easily seen that $g \circ f_1$ has the same zeroes and poles as f_2 and so there exists a Möbius transformation taking f_1 to f_2.

To show that $\tilde{\mu}^{-1}$ is holomorphic, we shall show that for any $x \in \mathbb{P}_2^\vee$ there is a local holomorphic section of μ on some neighbourhood of z. It is clear that there is a neighbourhood U of x on which a local holomorphic section σ_1 of L can be defined.

As in the proof of Theorem 15, there also exists a local holomorphic section σ_2 of the map (D_0, D_∞) in a neighbourhood of any point in $(\psi^{-1}(p) \times \psi^{-1}(p))\backslash S$. Shrinking U if necessary, we see that $\sigma_2 \circ (\kappa \times \kappa) \times \sigma_1$ is the desired local holomorphic section of μ. □

We shall continue to take $\mu : \beta^{-1}(p) \to \mathbb{P}_2^\vee$ to be as in the proof of Proposition 26. The following proposition reveals the relationship between the geometry of $\mathbb{P}_2^\vee \backslash C_p$ and $\chi^{-1}(p)$.

Proposition 27. *Let $q \in \mathbb{P}_2^\vee \backslash C_p$ and $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ such that $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = p$. Then q is on the line that intersects C_p at the points $\eta_p(x_1), \eta_p(x_2), \eta_p(x_3)$ counted with multiplicity if and only if $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ is a fibre of every map in $\mu^{-1}(q)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $[a_1, a_2, a_3]$ defines a line through $\eta_p(x_1)$, $\eta_p(x_2)$, $\eta_p(x_3)$ and q with the right multiplicity. Then we know from the proof of Proposition 25 that $\kappa[a_1, a_2, a_3] = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$. Now $q \in \mathbb{P}_2^\vee \backslash C_p$ is a line in $\mathbb{P}_2$ passing through $[a_1, a_2, a_3]$. If $[b_1, b_2, b_3]$ is a point on q, distinct from $[a_1, a_2, a_3]$, then we claim that $\kappa[b_1, b_2, b_3]$ is a divisor distinct from $x_1 + x_2 + x_3$. Indeed, if $\kappa[a_1, a_2, a_3]$ and $\kappa[b_1, b_2, b_3]$ shared x_1, say, then the corresponding lines in $\mathbb{P}_2^\vee$ would intersect at $\eta_p(x_1) \in C_p$. Since these lines already intersect at q, it follows that they are the same line, which contradicts the fact that they are distinct. Abel's theorem implies that there is a meromorphic function $f \in \beta^{-1}(p)$ such that $D_0(f) = \kappa[a_1, a_2, a_3] = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$ and $D_\infty(f) = \kappa[b_1, b_2, b_3]$. Indeed it is clear from the definition of μ that $\mu(f) = q$. Moreover, in the proof of Proposition 26 we showed that $\mu^{-1}(q)$ is the M-orbit of f. Hence $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ is a fibre of every $f \in \mu^{-1}(q)$.

Conversely suppose that q is such that $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ is a fibre of every $f \in \mu^{-1}(q)$. Then we can choose $f \in \mu^{-1}(q)$ such that $D_0(f) = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$. Let $[a_1, a_2, a_3] = \nu(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)$. Then the definition of μ implies that q is a line in $\mathbb{P}_2$ passing through $[a_1, a_2, a_3]$. The dual statement is that the line defined by $[a_1, a_2, a_3]$ passes through

q in $\mathbb{P}_2^\vee$. The proof of Proposition 25 implies that this line intersects C_p at the points $\eta_p(x_1)$, $\eta_p(x_2)$, $\eta_p(x_3)$ with the right multiplicity and we are done. □

Having proved Proposition 26, we will now construct a covering map $\mathbb{P}_2^\vee \backslash C_0 \times X \to R_3/M$. Let $s_3 : X \to X, x \mapsto 3x$. Then s_3 is a holomorphic 9-sheeted unbranched covering map. The following lemma is due to Namba [31, Lemma 1.4.1]. Our proof is simpler and more straightforward than Namba's original proof.

Proposition 28 (Namba)**.** *There exists a map $\tilde{s}_3 : \mathbb{P}_2^\vee \backslash C_0 \times X \to R_3/M$, which makes the following diagram commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}_2^\vee \backslash C_0 \times X & \xrightarrow{\tilde{s}_3} & R_3/M \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{proj}_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \chi} \\ X & \xrightarrow{s_3} & X \end{array}$$

where proj_2 *is the projection onto the second factor. Furthermore, this diagram is a pullback square.*

Proof. By Proposition 26 we can identify $\mathbb{P}_2^\vee \backslash C_0$ with $\chi^{-1}(0)$. For notational convenience we will suppress this isomorphism. Let P denote the pullback of R_3/M along s_3. Then it is enough to show that P is biholomorphic to $\chi^{-1}(0) \times X$. By definition,

$$P = \{(\psi, x) \in (R_3/M) \times X : \chi(\psi) = s_3(x)\}.$$

If $\pi : R_3 \to R_3/M$ is the projection map, then since π is an M-bundle, about any $\psi \in R_3/M$ we can find a neighbourhood U on which there exists a local holomorphic section $\sigma : U \to R_3$ of π. For $p \in X$, let $\tau_p : X \to X$, $x \mapsto x + p$. Then we can define the map

$$\Phi : \chi^{-1}(0) \times X \to P,\ (\psi, x) \mapsto (\pi(\sigma(\psi) \circ \tau_{-x}), x).$$

To show that Φ is well defined, we must show that it is independent of the choice of the section σ and show that the image of $\chi^{-1}(0) \times X$ is contained in P. Take $\psi \in$

$\chi^{-1}(0)$ and two local holomorphic sections σ_1, σ_2 of π defined on some neighbourhood U of ψ. Then if $\varphi \in U$, there exists $g \in M$ such that $\sigma_2(\varphi) = g \circ \sigma_1(\varphi)$. Then

$$\pi(\sigma_2(\varphi) \circ \tau_{-x}) = \pi(g \circ \sigma_1(\varphi) \circ \tau_x) = \pi(\sigma_1(\varphi) \circ \tau_{-x}),$$

so the map is independent of the choice of section. To show that the image is contained in P, note that if the zeroes of $\sigma(\psi)$ are p_1, p_2, p_3, then the zeroes of $\sigma(\psi) \circ \tau_{-x}$ are $p_1 + x$, $p_2 + x$, $p_3 + x$. Then $\chi(\sigma(\psi) \circ \tau_{-x}) = 3x = s_3(x)$ and so Φ is well defined.

The map Φ is clearly a bijection with inverse

$$\Phi^{-1} : P \to \chi^{-1}(0) \times X,\ (\psi, x) \mapsto (\pi(\sigma(\psi) \circ \tau_x), x).$$

Both Φ and Φ^{-1} are obviously holomorphic and so P is biholomorphic to $\chi^{-1}(0) \times X$. □

If we identify $\mathbb{P}_2^\vee \backslash C_0$ with $\chi^{-1}(0)$ as in the proof above, then every element of $\mathbb{P}_2^\vee \backslash C_0$ can be written as $\pi(f)$, where $f \in R_3$ is chosen appropriately. Unravelling the construction of $\tilde{s}_3$ in the proof above, we get $\tilde{s}_3(\pi(f), x) = \pi(f \circ \tau_{-x})$. This formula will be used later.

Since $\tilde{s}_3$ is surjective and $\mathbb{P}_2^\vee \backslash C_0$, X and M are connected, we can finally conclude that R_3 is connected.

Corollary 29. *The complex manifold R_3 is connected.*

Proposition 28 also implies that R_3 is Oka if and only if $\mathbb{P}_2^\vee \backslash C_0$ is Oka. Indeed M is Oka and $\pi : R_3 \to R_3/M$ is a principal M-bundle. Then R_3 is Oka if and only if R_3/M is Oka. Since $\tilde{s}_3$ is an unbranched covering map, R_3/M is Oka if and only $\mathbb{P}_2^\vee \backslash C_0 \times X$ is Oka. Since X is Oka, $\mathbb{P}_2^\vee \backslash C_0 \times X$ is Oka if and only if $\mathbb{P}_2^\vee \backslash C_0$ is Oka.

Corollary 30. *The complex manifold R_3 is Oka if and only if the cubic complement $\mathbb{P}_2^\vee \backslash C_0$ is Oka.*

Whether the complement of a smooth cubic curve in $\mathbb{P}_2$ is Oka or not is a well-known open problem in Oka theory [12, Open Problem B, p. 20]. Hence, we are unable to conclude whether R_3 is Oka or not.

From now on, we will blur the distinction between $\mathbb{P}_2$ and $\mathbb{P}_2^\vee$.

2.5 Oka Branched Covering Space of $\mathbb{P}_2\backslash C$

Let $F : \mathbb{C}^3 \to \mathbb{C}$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree 3. Then the equation

$$F(x, y, z) = 0 \tag{2.5.2}$$

defines a projective curve C in $\mathbb{P}_2$. We shall assume that the partial derivatives F_x, F_y, F_z have no common zeroes on C, so C is smooth. Then C is biholomorphic to a complex torus $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma$, for some lattice Γ in $\mathbb{C}$. We may assume that F is written in the standard form

$$F(x, y, z) = y^2z - 4x^3 + g_2xz^2 + g_3z^3, \tag{2.5.3}$$

where $g_2, g_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ depend on Γ. Then if $\wp$ is the Weierstrass elliptic function associated to Γ, the map $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma \to \mathbb{P}_2$, $\zeta \mapsto [\wp(\zeta), \wp'(\zeta), 1]$, is a biholomorphism onto C, where $[\wp(0), \wp'(0), 1]$ is interpreted as $[0, 1, 0]$. Since $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma$ is a complex Lie group, this biholomorphism induces a complex Lie group structure on C.

In this section we shall prove the following theorem.

Theorem 31. *There exists a 6-sheeted connected branched covering space N of $\mathbb{P}_2\backslash C$ that is an Oka manifold.*

Recall that a complex manifold X of dimension m is *dominable* if for some $x \in X$, there exists a holomorphic map $\mathbb{C}^m \to X$ taking 0 to x that is a local biholomorphism at 0. This property passes down branched covering maps. Since every Oka manifold is dominable, it follows that $\mathbb{P}_2\backslash C$ is dominable.

The branched covering space N was first constructed by Buzzard and Lu [3, Section 5.1] as the graph complement of a meromorphic function. They showed that N is dominable, implying that $\mathbb{P}_2\backslash C$ is dominable. Hanysz [17, Theorem 4.6] improved this result by showing that N is Oka. Many of the details of the proof are omitted from both [3] and [17]. We will present a detailed proof of this result here based on Buzzard and Lu's original construction.

If L is any line in $\mathbb{P}_2$, then Bezout's theorem implies that L intersects C at three points, counted with multiplicity. The group law on the cubic implies that if p_1, p_2, p_3 are the intersection points and

$$p_1 = [\wp(\zeta_1), \wp'(\zeta_1), 1], \quad p_2 = [\wp(\zeta_2), \wp'(\zeta_2), 1], \quad p_3 = [\wp(\zeta_3), \wp'(\zeta_3), 1],$$

then $\zeta_1 + \zeta_2 + \zeta_3 = 0$, where this addition is the group addition on $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma$. Conversely, given $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3 \in \mathbb{C}/\Gamma$ such that $\zeta_1 + \zeta_2 + \zeta_3 = 0$, then the points p_1, p_2, p_3 defined as above are the intersection points of some line L in $\mathbb{P}_2$ with C. See [13, Section V.4] for details.

If L is tangent to C at $p = [x, y, z]$, then L intersects C at p with multiplicity at least 2. Furthermore, L is the set of points $[X, Y, Z] \in \mathbb{P}_2$ such that

$$F_x(x, y, z)X + F_y(x, y, z)Y + F_z(x, y, z)Z = 0. \tag{2.5.4}$$

If L intersects C at p with multiplicity 3, then p is an inflection point. The inflection points of C occur where the determinant of the Hessian of F vanishes. In particular, C has 9 distinct inflection points [7, Section 4.5].

Let E be the set of points $([x, y, z], [X, Y, Z]) \in \mathbb{P}_2 \times \mathbb{P}_2$ satisfying both (2.5.2) and (2.5.4). Then $(p, q) \in E$ if and only if $p \in C$ and q is on the tangent line to C at p.

Proposition 32. *The set E is a smooth, connected, 2-dimensional, closed algebraic subvariety of $\mathbb{P}_2 \times \mathbb{P}_2$.*

Proof. Let

$$A = \{([x, y, z], [X, Y, Z]) \in \mathbb{P}_2 \times \mathbb{P}_2 : z, Z \neq 0\}.$$

Then A is a chart on $\mathbb{P}_2 \times \mathbb{P}_2$ and can be identified with $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2$ by setting $z, Z = 1$. Let $H : A \to \mathbb{C}$ be defined by

$$H(x, y, X, Y) = F_x(x, y, 1)X + F_y(x, y, 1)Y + F_z(x, y, 1).$$

Then $E \cap A$ is the zero set of the function $G : A \to \mathbb{C}^2$,

$$G(x, y, X, Y) = (F(x, y, 1), H(x, y, X, Y)).$$

The Jacobian matrix of G is

$$J(G) = \begin{pmatrix} F_x & F_y & 0 & 0 \\ H_x & H_y & H_X & H_Y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} F_x & F_y & 0 & 0 \\ H_x & H_y & F_x & F_y \end{pmatrix}.$$

We claim that $J(G)$ has full rank at every point $([x, y, 1], [X, Y, 1]) \in E \cap A$. Indeed, since $F_x X + F_y Y + F_z = 0$, both F_x and F_y cannot vanish at $[x, y, 1]$; otherwise F_z would also vanish and C would be singular. So we are done. □

Let $\pi : E \to C, (p, q) \mapsto p$, be the projection onto C. Then π is holomorphic and the fibre over $p \in C$ is the tangent line of C at p.

Let U_x be the open subset of C on which F_x does not vanish. Let $h_x : \pi^{-1}(U_x) \to U_x \times \mathbb{P}$, $(p, [X, Y, Z]) \mapsto (p, [Y, Z])$. Then h_x is a biholomorphism and hence a local trivialisation of π. The subsets U_y, U_z and the corresponding biholomorphisms h_y, h_z are defined analogously. Since F_x, F_y, F_z never vanish simultaneously, we see that the sets $\pi^{-1}(U_x)$, $\pi^{-1}(U_y)$, $\pi^{-1}(U_z)$ form an open cover of E. Thus E is a holomorphic $\mathbb{P}$-bundle over C.

Let L be tangent to C at p and let $p' = -2p \in C$. Then $p' = p$ if and only if p is an inflection point. Otherwise, $p' \neq p$ and L intersects C with multiplicity 2 at p and 1 at p'.

Let $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 : C \to E$, $\sigma_1(p) = (p, p)$, $\sigma_2(p) = (p, p')$. Then σ_1, σ_2 are holomorphic sections of π.

Let $u : \mathbb{C} \to C$ be the universal covering map. Then the pullback bundle $\tilde{E}$ of E by u is

$$\tilde{E} = \{(\zeta, w) \in \mathbb{C} \times E : u(\zeta) = \pi(w)\}.$$

Then $\tilde{E}$ is a complex manifold. Let $\tilde{u} : \tilde{E} \to E$, $(\zeta, w) \mapsto w$, and $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{E} \to \mathbb{C}$, $(\zeta, w) \mapsto \zeta$, be the projection maps. Then $\tilde{u}$ and $\tilde{\pi}$ are holomorphic and form the following commuting square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{E} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{u}} & E \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{\pi}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{u} & C \end{array}$$

Now, $u^{-1}(U_x)$, $u^{-1}(U_y)$, $u^{-1}(U_z)$ form an open cover of $\mathbb{C}$. We see that the map $\tilde{h}_x : \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(u^{-1}(U_x)) \to u^{-1}(U_x) \times \mathbb{P}$, $(\zeta, w) \mapsto (\zeta, \mathrm{proj}_2(h_x(w)))$, is a local trivialisation of $\tilde{\pi}$. Defining the maps $\tilde{h}_y$, $\tilde{h}_z$ analogously, we see that $\tilde{E}$ is a holomorphic $\mathbb{P}$-bundle over $\mathbb{C}$. The structure group of $\tilde{E}$ is the Möbius group, which is connected. Then $\tilde{E}$ is a trivial bundle, since every holomorphic fibre bundle over a non-compact Riemann surface whose structure group is connected is holomorphically trivial [32, Theorem 1.0].

Let $s_1, s_2 : \mathbb{C} \to \tilde{E}$, $s_1(\zeta) = (\zeta, \sigma_1(u(\zeta)))$, $s_2(\zeta) = (\zeta, \sigma_2(u(\zeta)))$. Then s_1, s_2 are well-defined holomorphic sections of $\tilde{\pi}$. The triviality of $\tilde{E}$ implies that $s_1(\mathbb{C})$, $s_2(\mathbb{C})$ may be identified with the graphs of meromorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}$.

We shall now show that $\tilde{E}\backslash s_1(\mathbb{C})$ is an affine bundle over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $h : \tilde{E} \to \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{P}$ be a global trivialisation of $\tilde{E}$. Let $y = \mathrm{proj}_2 \circ h \circ s_1 : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{P}$. Let $B_0 = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : y(\zeta) \neq 0\}$ and $B_\infty = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : y(\zeta) \neq \infty\}$. Then the maps

$$\Phi_0 : (B_0 \times \mathbb{P})\backslash h \circ s_1(B_0) \to B_0 \times \mathbb{C}, (\zeta, w) \mapsto \left(\zeta, \frac{w}{1 - \frac{w}{y(\zeta)}}\right),$$

and

$$\Phi_\infty : (B_\infty \times \mathbb{P})\backslash h \circ s_1(B_\infty) \to B_\infty \times \mathbb{C}, (\zeta, w) \mapsto \left(\zeta, \frac{1}{w - y(\zeta)}\right),$$

are biholomorphisms. It follows that the maps $\Phi_0 \circ h|\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(B_0)\backslash s_1(B_0)$ and $\Phi_\infty \circ h|\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(B_\infty)\backslash s_1(B_\infty)$ are local trivialisations of $\tilde{E}\backslash s_1(\mathbb{C})$. The transition maps are easily checked to be affine.

Thus $\tilde{E}\backslash s_1(\mathbb{C})$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-bundle over $\mathbb{C}$ with connected structure group, hence is a trivial bundle. We can then identify $\tilde{E}\backslash s_1(\mathbb{C})$ with $\mathbb{C}^2$. Then $\mathbb{C}^2\backslash s_2(\mathbb{C})$ is the complement of the graph of a meromorphic function.

Hanysz [17, Theorem 4.6] proved the following theorem.

Theorem 33 (Hanysz)**.** *Let X be a complex manifold, and let $m : X \to \mathbb{P}$ be a holomorphic map with graph Γ. Suppose m can be written in the form $m = f + 1/g$ for holomorphic functions f and g. Then $(X \times \mathbb{C})\backslash\Gamma$ is Oka if and only if X is Oka.*

It is well known that $\mathbb{C}$ is Oka. Furthermore, Buzzard and Lu [3, Propositon 5.1] use the theorems of Mittag-Leffler and Weierstrass to prove that every holomorphic map $m : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{P}$ can be written in the form $m = f + 1/g$. Hence the manifold $\tilde{E}\backslash(s_1(\mathbb{C}) \cup s_2(\mathbb{C}))$ is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^2\backslash s_2(\mathbb{C})$ and satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem and so is Oka.

The map $\tilde{u}$ is the pullback of an infinite covering map along a continuous map and so is an infinite covering map $\tilde{E} \to E$. Recall that $\sigma_1(C) = \{(p, p) \in \mathbb{P}_2 \times \mathbb{P}_2 : p \in C\}$, $\sigma_2(C) = \{(p, p') \in \mathbb{P}_2 \times \mathbb{P}_2 : p \in C\}$. By definition of the pullback bundle, the preimage $\tilde{u}^{-1}(\sigma_1(C) \cup \sigma_2(C))$ consists of pairs $(\zeta, (p, p))$ or $(\zeta, (p, p'))$, where $u(\zeta) = p$. But by definition of s_1, s_2, if $u(\zeta) = p$, then $s_1(\zeta) = (\zeta, (p, p))$ and $s_2(\zeta) = (\zeta, (p, p'))$, implying $\tilde{u}^{-1}(\sigma_1(C)) = s_1(\mathbb{C})$ and $\tilde{u}^{-1}(\sigma_2(C)) = s_2(\mathbb{C})$. Hence the restriction $\tilde{u}|\tilde{E}\backslash(s_1(\mathbb{C}) \cup s_2(\mathbb{C}))$ is an infinite covering map $\tilde{E}\backslash(s_1(\mathbb{C}) \cup s_2(\mathbb{C})) \to E\backslash(\sigma_1(C) \cup \sigma_2(C))$. It follows that $N = E\backslash(\sigma_1(C) \cup \sigma_2(C))$ is Oka [10, Proposition 5.5.2]. Since E is connected, so is N.

Let $\lambda : E \to \mathbb{P}_2$, $(p, q) \mapsto q$. Then λ is holomorphic and, since E is compact, is proper. The preimage $\lambda^{-1}(C)$ consists precisely of those $(p, q) \in \mathbb{P}_2 \times \mathbb{P}_2$ such that q

is in the intersection of the tangent line to C at p with C itself. Hence either $q = p$ or $q = p'$. Therefore $\lambda^{-1}(C) = \sigma_1(C) \cup \sigma_2(C)$ and the restriction $\lambda|N$ is a proper holomorphic map from an Oka manifold into $\mathbb{P}_2\backslash C$.

We shall now show that $\lambda|N \to \mathbb{P}_2\backslash C$ is a surjective finite map. Given $[q_1, q_2, q_3] \in \mathbb{P}_2\backslash C$, the curve defined by

$$q_1F_x + q_2F_y + q_3F_z = 0$$

is of degree 2 and by Bezout's theorem intersects C at 6 points, counted with multiplicity. It is clear that these 6 intersection points are precisely the points on C whose tangents pass through q. Then λ is surjective and finite. Furthermore, the fibres generically contain 6 points [7, Section 5.7] and so λ is a 6-sheeted branched covering of $\mathbb{P}_2\backslash C$ by an Oka manifold. Note that λ does have branching as the curve

$$q_1F_x + q_2F_y + q_3F_x = 0$$

intersects C with multiplicity 2 precisely at the inflection points of C. It follows that the set of critical values of λ is the union of the 9 inflection tangents of C with the inflection points removed. Indeed Bezout's theorem implies that each inflection tangent intersects C only at its corresponding inflection point. Then the intersection of any two inflection tangents occurs in $\mathbb{P}_2\backslash C$ and so the set of critical values forms a singular hypersurface of $\mathbb{P}_2\backslash C$ whose singular locus consists of the intersection points of the inflection tangents.

2.6 The Main Theorem

Let X be a compact Riemann surface of genus 1 and R_3 the space of holomorphic maps $X \to \mathbb{P}$ of degree 3. Recall that R_3 is a connected 6-dimensional complex manifold. We can now state our main result.

Theorem 34. *There exists a* 6*-sheeted connected branched covering space of* R_3 *that is an Oka manifold.*

To prove this theorem, we will make use of the following construction. Recall that the map $s_3 : X \to X$, $x \mapsto 3x$, is a 9-sheeted unbranched covering map. Let χ be the map $R_3/M \to X$ induced by the map $\beta : R_3 \to X$, $f \mapsto \psi(D_0(f))$, where ψ is the Jacobi map $S^3X \to X$, $p_1 + p_2 + p_3 \mapsto p_1 + p_2 + p_3$, and $D_0 : R_3 \to S^3X$ takes f to its divisor of zeroes. Proposition 28 implies that, for a certain smooth cubic C in $\mathbb{P}_2$ biholomorphic to X (called C_0 before), there exists an unbranched 9-sheeted covering map $\tilde{s}_3 : \mathbb{P}_2\backslash C \times X \to R_3/M$ such that the following diagram is a pullback square.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}_2\backslash C \times X & \xrightarrow{\tilde{s}_3} & R_3/M \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{proj}_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \chi} \\ X & \xrightarrow{s_3} & X \end{array}$$

Furthermore, Proposition 26 allows us to identify $\mathbb{P}_2\backslash C$ with $\chi^{-1}(0)$. Let $\pi : R_3 \to R_3/M$ be the quotient map. Then $\pi(\beta^{-1}(0)) = \chi^{-1}(0)$. Recall that if $f \in \beta^{-1}(0)$, then $\tilde{s}_3(\pi(f), x) = \pi(f \circ \tau_{-x})$. For the remainder of this section we shall use this identification to denote all elements in $\mathbb{P}_2\backslash C$ by $\pi(f)$, where the representative $f \in \beta^{-1}(0)$ is chosen appropriately. As in Section 2.5, let

$$N = \{(p, q) \in C \times \mathbb{P}_2\backslash C : q \text{ is in the tangent to } C \text{ at } p\},$$

and take $\lambda : N \to \mathbb{P}_2\backslash C$, $(p, q) \mapsto q$. Then by Theorem 31, N is an Oka manifold and λ is a 6-sheeted branched covering map.

Let S be the 9-element subgroup $\{x \in X : 3x = 0\}$, isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$. (Note that if $\eta_0 : X \to C$ is the embedding defining $C = C_0$ as in Section 2.4, then $\eta_0(S)$ consists of the inflection points of C.) Then S acts on $\mathbb{P}_2\backslash C \times X$ via the action

$$S \times \mathbb{P}_2\backslash C \times X \to \mathbb{P}_2\backslash C \times X, \quad t \cdot (\pi(f), x) = (\pi(f \circ \tau_t), x + t).$$

It is clear that this action realises S as the group of covering transformations of $\tilde{s}_3$.

We will now lift the above action of S on $\mathbb{P}_2\backslash C \times X$ to an action on $N \times X$. Recall that C is the image of an embedding p of X into $\mathbb{P}_2$. We claim that the map

$$S \times N \times X \to N \times X, \quad t \cdot ((p(y), \pi(f)), x) = ((p(y-t), \pi(f \circ \tau_t)), x+t),$$

is an action of S on $N \times X$ such that whenever $t \in S$,

$$(\lambda \times \mathrm{id}_X)(t \cdot ((p,q),x)) = t \cdot ((\lambda \times \mathrm{id}_X)((p,q),x)).$$

To check this, it is enough to know that whenever $t \in S$ and $(p(y), \pi(f)) \in N$, $t \cdot (p(y), \pi(f)) \in N$. To show that $t \cdot (p(y), \pi(f)) = (p(y-t), \pi(f \circ \tau_t)) \in N$, we must firstly show that $f \circ \tau_t \in \beta^{-1}(0)$. This follows from the fact that $\beta(f \circ \tau_t) = \beta(f) - 3t = 0 - 0 = 0$. It remains to show that $\pi(f \circ \tau_t)$ is on the tangent to C at $p(y-t)$. We know that $\pi(f)$ is on the tangent to C at $p(y)$. Then by Proposition 27, $y+y+(-2y)$ is a divisor corresponding to a fibre of f. But then $(y-t)+(y-t)+(-2(y-t))$ is a divisor corresponding to a fibre of $f \circ \tau_t$ and so $\pi(f \circ \tau_t)$ is on the tangent to C at $p(y-t)$. Hence we have defined an action of S on $N \times X$.

Note that it is generally not possible to lift a group action up a branched covering map. It works in our special case because $N \subset C \times \mathbb{P}_2\backslash C$, so lifting an action from $N \times X$ to $C \times \mathbb{P}_2\backslash C \times X$ amounts to choosing an action on C that restricts to an action on $N \times X$. Indeed we have the following commuting diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N \times X & \hookrightarrow & C \times (\mathbb{P}_2\backslash C) \times X \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda \times \mathrm{id}_X} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{proj}} & \\ \mathbb{P}_2\backslash C \times X & & \end{array}$$

We will now use the action of S on $N \times X$ to construct a 6-sheeted Oka branched covering space of R_3/M. Indeed, the action of S on X is free so the action of S on $N \times X$ is free. It follows that the quotient $(N \times X)/S$ is a complex manifold such that the quotient map $\zeta : N \times X \to (N \times X)/S$ is a holomorphic 9-sheeted unbranched covering. Since N and X are Oka, so is $N \times X$. Then $(N \times X)/S$ is also Oka.

Let $\Psi = \tilde{s}_3 \circ (\lambda \times \mathrm{id}_X) : N \times X \to R_3/M$. Then Ψ is the composition of a 6-sheeted branched covering followed by a 9-sheeted unbranched covering map. We now claim that Ψ is S-invariant. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(t \cdot ((p(y), \pi(f)), x)) &= \tilde{s}_3((\lambda \times \mathrm{id}_X)(t \cdot ((p(y), \pi(f)), x))) \\ &= \tilde{s}_3(t \cdot ((\lambda \times \mathrm{id}_X)((p(y), \pi(f)), x))) \\ &= \tilde{s}_3((\lambda \times \mathrm{id}_X)((p(y), \pi(f)), x)).\end{aligned}$$

Thus Ψ induces a holomorphic map $\Lambda : (N \times X)/S \to R_3/M$ such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N \times X & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & (N \times X)/S \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda \times \mathrm{id}_X} & \overset{\Psi}{\searrow} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Lambda} \\ \mathbb{P}_2 \backslash C \times X & \xrightarrow{\tilde{s}_3} & R_3/M \end{array}$$

Since Ψ is a finite map, Λ is also a finite map. Moreover, it is clear that S acts freely on the fibres of Ψ, which generically contain 54 points. It follows that the fibres of Λ generically contain 6 points and so Λ is a 6-sheeted branched covering map.

To prove Theorem 34, let W be the pullback of R_3 along Λ. Then we obtain the following pullback square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & R_3 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{\pi}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ (N \times X)/S & \xrightarrow{\Lambda} & R_3/M \end{array}$$

where $\tilde{\pi} : W \to (N \times X)/S$ is the pullback of π along Λ and $\Phi : W \to R_3$ is the pullback of Λ along π. Then W is smooth since π is a submersion and is a 6-sheeted branched covering space of R_3. By Theorem 18, π is a principal M-bundle, which implies that $\tilde{\pi}$ is also a principal M-bundle. Since M, N and X are connected, so is W. Now, since M is a complex Lie group, M is Oka. Then since $(N \times M)/S$ is Oka it follows that W is Oka and the theorem is proved.

Note that Φ has branching because λ has branching, as mentioned at the end of Section 2.5. The set of critical points of Φ will be described in Section 3.3.

Recall that a complex manifold of dimension m is *dominable* if for some $x \in X$, there is a holomorphic map $\mathbb{C}^m \to X$ taking 0 to x that is a local biholomorphism at 0. We say X is $\mathbb{C}$-*connected* if given any two points $x, y \in X$ there exists a holomorphic map $\mathbb{C} \to X$ whose image contains both x and y. It is clear that both properties pass down branched covering maps. Every Oka manifold is known to be both dominable and $\mathbb{C}$-connected. It follows that R_3 is both dominable and $\mathbb{C}$-connected.

Corollary 35. *The complex manifold R_3 is dominable and $\mathbb{C}$-connected.*

Chapter 3

Further Results

3.1 Strong Dominability

Recall that a complex manifold Y of dimension n is *strongly dominable* if for every $y \in Y$, there is holomorphic map $f : \mathbb{C}^n \to Y$ such that $f(0) = y$ and f is a local biholomorphism at 0.

Let X be a compact Riemann surface of genus 1 and R_3 the corresponding complex manifold of holomorphic maps $X \to \mathbb{P}$ of degree 3. Recall that X is biholomorphic to a torus $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma$, where Γ is a lattice in $\mathbb{C}$. Let Γ_0 denote the hexagonal lattice. Then Proposition 19 implies that $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma_0$ is the unique torus whose group of automorphisms fixing the identity has order 6. The goal of this section is to show that if X is not biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma_0$, then the complex manifold R_3 is strongly dominable.

Let C be a smooth cubic curve in $\mathbb{P}_2$ biholomorphic to X and defined by the equation $F(x, y, z) = 0$. Let N be the set of $(p, q) \in \mathbb{P}_2 \times (\mathbb{P}_2 \backslash C)$ such that $p \in C$ and q is on the line tangent to C at p. We know from Section 2.5 that N is an Oka manifold. Furthermore, the map $\lambda : N \to \mathbb{P}_2 \backslash C$, $(p, q) \mapsto q$, is a 6-sheeted branched covering map.

Suppose that X is not biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma_0$. We will show that R_3 is strongly dominable by showing that $\mathbb{P}_2\backslash C$ is strongly dominable. To prove the latter, it is sufficient to know that every fibre of λ contains a regular point. To see why this implies that R_3 is strongly dominable, let S be the set of $x \in X$ such that $3x = 0$ and M be the Möbius group. Recall from the proof of Theorem 34 the maps $\Psi : N \times X \to R_3/M$ and $\Lambda : (N \times X)/S \to R_3/M$. Recall that Ψ is $\lambda \times \mathrm{id}_X$ postcomposed by a 9-sheeted unbranched covering map. Every fibre of λ contains a regular point if and only if every fibre of Ψ contains a regular point. The map Λ is a 6-sheeted branched covering map from Section 2.6, while the quotient map $\zeta : N \times S \to (N \times S)/S$ is a 9-sheeted unbranched covering map such that $\Lambda \circ \zeta = \Phi$. Hence every fibre of Λ contains a regular point if and only if every fibre of Ψ contains a regular point. Let W and $\Phi : W \to R_3$ be as in the proof of Theorem 34. Then Φ is the pullback of Λ along the quotient map $\pi : R_3 \to R_3/M$, so every fibre of Φ contains a regular point if and only if every fibre of Λ contains a regular point. Hence both R_3 and $\mathbb{P}_2\backslash C$ will be strongly dominable if we can show every fibre of λ contains a regular point.

Lemma 36. *A point $(p, q) \in N$ is a critical point of λ if and only if p is an inflection point of C.*

Proof. Fix $(p, q) \in N$ and let $[x, y, z]$ denote homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{P}_2$. Using a projective transformation we can assume that $p = [0, 0, 1]$ and $q = [1, 0, 0]$ and so the tangent line to C at p is the line $y = 0$. Then $F_x(p) = F_z(p) = 0$ and $F_y(p) \neq 0$. Let $A \subset \mathbb{P}_2$ be the affine chart obtained by setting $z = 1$. It follows by the implicit function theorem that there exists a neighbourhood Ω of p in A, a neighbourhood U of 0 in $\mathbb{C}$ and a holomorphic map $f : U \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $C \cap \Omega$ is the graph of f via the embedding $U \to \Omega$, $t \mapsto (t, f(t))$. Then $f(0) = 0$ and, since $y = 0$ is tangent to C at p, $f'(0) = 0$.

We claim that (p, q) is a critical point of λ if and only if $f''(0) = 0$. Indeed, if

$f''(0) = 0$, then f' is not injective on any neighbourhood of p. It follows that for every neighbourhood V of p in Ω, there exist two distinct points in $V \cap C$ whose tangent lines are parallel in the affine chart A and hence intersect on the line at infinity. Then given any neighbourhood V' of q in $\mathbb{P}_2$ we can choose V sufficiently small that the tangent line of every point in V intersects the line at infinity in V'. Then we can find two distinct points in V whose tangent lines intersect in V'. Hence given any neighbourhood of the form $V \times V'$ of (p, q) in N, we can find two distinct points in $V \times V'$ in the same fibre of λ and so (p, q) is a critical point of λ.

Conversely, suppose that $f''(0) \neq 0$. Then shrinking U and Ω if necessary, we can assume that f' is injective on U. It is easy to check that for distinct points $t_1, t_2 \in U$, the tangent lines to t_1, t_2 intersect in the affine chart A at the point

$$(X, Y) = \left(\frac{f'(t_1)t_1 - f'(t_2)t_2 + f(t_2) - f(t_1)}{f'(t_1) - f'(t_2)}, f'(t_1)X + f(t_1) - f'(t_1)t_1 \right).$$

To show that (p, q) is a regular point of λ, it is sufficient to find a neighbourhood on which λ is injective. To show this, it is enough to know that given $\epsilon > 0$ we can find $\delta > 0$ such that $|X| < \epsilon$ whenever $|t_1| < \delta$ and $|t_2| < \delta$. Indeed, since Y depends continuously on X, this will show that given two sequences (r_n), (s_n) of points on C converging to p such that $r_n \neq s_n$ for all n, the sequence of intersection points (X_n, Y_n) will also converge to p. Take $\epsilon > 0$. We see that

$$\begin{aligned} |X| &= \left| \frac{f'(t_1)t_1 - f'(t_2)t_1 + f'(t_2)t_1 - f'(t_2)t_2 + f(t_2) - f(t_1)}{f'(t_1) - f'(t_2)} \right| \\ &\leq |t_1| + |f'(t_2)| \left| \frac{t_1 - t_2}{f'(t_1) - f'(t_2)} \right| + \left| \frac{f(t_2) - f(t_1)}{f'(t_1) - f'(t_2)} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Restrict t_1 such that $|t_1| < \epsilon$. Since $f'(0) = 0$, for $|t_2|$ sufficiently small, $|f'(t_2)| < \epsilon$. Furthermore, since f' is injective on U, $f''(0) \neq 0$ and, restricting t_1, t_2 further if necessary, we see that $|t_1 - t_2|/|f'(t_1) - f'(t_2)|$ is bounded above by some $M > 0$. Finally,

$$\left| \frac{f(t_2) - f(t_1)}{f'(t_1) - f'(t_2)} \right| = \left| \frac{t_1 - t_2}{f'(t_1) - f'(t_2)} \right| \cdot \left| \frac{f(t_1) - f(t_2)}{t_1 - t_2} \right| < M\epsilon,$$

where t_1, t_2 are restricted so that $|f(t_1) - f(t_2)|/|t_1 - t_2| < \epsilon$. Then we see that

$$|X| < \epsilon + 2M\epsilon,$$

which implies that λ is injective on a neighbourhood of (p, q), so (p, q) is a regular point.

To complete the proof of the lemma, we must show that p is an inflection point of C if and only if $f''(0) = 0$. Observe that for all $t \in U$, $F(t, f(t), 1) = 0$. Differentiating twice, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} F''(t, f(t), 1) = {} & F_{xx}(t, f(t), 1) + F_{xy}(t, f(t), 1)f'(t) \\ & + (F_{xy}(t, f(t), 1) + F_{yy}(t, f(t), 1)f'(t))f'(t) + F_y(t, f(t), 1)f''(t) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f'(0) = 0$, evaluating at $t = 0$ yields $f''(0) = -F_{xx}(p)/F_y(p)$ and we are done if we can show that p is an inflection point if and only if $F_{xx}(p) = 0$.

Let $G(x) = F(x, 0, 1)$. Then G has the following Taylor expansion about the point p:

$$G(x) = F(p) + xF_x(p) + x^2F_{xx}(p) + \cdots .$$

Since C is of degree 3, the point p is an inflection point of C if and only if $G(x)$ has a zero of order 3 at 0 [7, Section 2.5]. Since $p \in C$, $F(p) = 0$. Furthermore, since the line $y = 0$ is tangent to C, $F_x(p) = 0$. Thus p is an inflection point if and only if $F_{xx}(p) = 0$. □

It follows from the above lemma that if $q \in \mathbb{P}_2 \backslash C$, then $\lambda^{-1}(q)$ contains no regular point only if there exist three inflectional tangents to C that meet at q. The existence of three inflectional tangents with a common point depends on the cubic C. We have been unable to find a reference that explains for which cubics this occurs, so we will summarise our own work.

The following lemma is well known [2, Lemma 2.1].

Lemma 37. *Every smooth cubic in $\mathbb{P}_2$ is projectively equivalent to a cubic defined by the equation $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 + txyz = 0$, for some $t \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Let C_t denote the cubic defined by the equation $x^3+y^3+z^3+txyz = 0$. Precomposing λ by a projective transformation, we can assume that $C = C_t$ for some $t \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $\varepsilon = e^{2i\pi/3}$. The inflection points of C_t are independent of t and are as follows [2, p. 238]:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [0,1,-1] & [0,1,-\varepsilon] & [0,1,-\varepsilon^2] \\ [1,0,-1] & [1,0,-\varepsilon^2] & [1,0,-\varepsilon] \\ [1,-1,0] & [1,-\varepsilon,0] & [1,-\varepsilon^2,0]. \end{array}$$

The tangents to C_t at the above inflection points have dual coordinates as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [-t,3,3] & [3,-t,3] & [3,3,-t] \\ [-t\varepsilon,3,3\varepsilon^2] & [3,-t\varepsilon^2,3\varepsilon] & [3.3\varepsilon^2,-t\varepsilon] \\ [-t\varepsilon^2,3,3\varepsilon] & [3,-t\varepsilon,3\varepsilon^2] & [3,3\varepsilon,-t\varepsilon^2] \end{array}$$

Three inflectional tangents intersect in a point if and only if the corresponding dual points are collinear. Considering each of the 84 cases, the only values of t for which this occurs are the following:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} t=0 & t=6 & t=-3 \\ & t=6\varepsilon & t=-3\varepsilon \\ & t=6\varepsilon^2 & t=-3\varepsilon^2 \end{array}$$

The cubics corresponding to $t = -3, -3\varepsilon, -3\varepsilon^2$ are singular. These are the only values of for which C_t is singular [2, p. 241].

Two cubics in $\mathbb{P}_2$ are projectively equivalent if and only if they are biholomorphic [5, p. 127]. Two values of t give the same cubic up to biholomorphism if and only if they are in the same fibre of the map

$$j : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{P}, \quad t \mapsto [2^3t^3(1-t^3/6^3)^3, 3^3(1+t^3/3^3)^3]$$

[5, Equation 3.11, p. 133]. This map is the well-known j-invariant. It is evident that $j(t) = j(\varepsilon t)$. Futhermore, it is clear that that $j(6) = j(0)$ and so up to biholo-

morphism, there is only one smooth cubic with three inflectional tangents sharing a point. This cubic, C_0, is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma_0$, where Γ_0 is the hexagonal lattice, and is called the *equianharmonic cubic* [5, Theorem 3.1.3, Definition 3.1.2].

We have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 38. *Let C be a smooth cubic curve in $\mathbb{P}_2$ that is not the equianharmonic cubic. Then $\mathbb{P}_2\backslash C$ is strongly dominable.*

Let X be a compact Riemann surface of genus 1 and R_3 the complex manifold of degree 3 holomorphic maps $X \to \mathbb{P}$. Then if X is not biholomorphic to the equianharmonic cubic, R_3 is strongly dominable.

Note that if C is biholomorphic to the equianharmonic cubic, it is an open problem whether $\mathbb{P}_2\backslash C$ is strongly dominable.

3.2 The Remmert Reduction of R_3

Let X be a compact Riemann surface of genus 1 and R_3 the complex manifold of degree 3 holomorphic maps $X \to \mathbb{P}$. Identify X with $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma$, for some lattice Γ in $\mathbb{C}$, making X a complex Lie group. The main goal of this section is to show that R_3 is holomorphically convex and to determine its Remmert reduction.

If Y is a holomorphically convex manifold, and we define an equivalence relation on Y by setting $x \sim y$ whenever $f(x) = f(y)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$, then $Y/\sim$ is a Stein space such that every holomorphic map from Y to a holomorphically separable space Z admits a unique factorisation through the quotient map $\Pi : Y \to Y/\sim$ by a holomorphic map $Y/\sim \to Z$ [22, Theorem 57.11]. The space $Y/\sim$ is called the *Remmert reduction* of Y. The map Π is proper with connected fibres and induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}(Y/\sim) \to \mathcal{O}(Y)$.

Recall that X acts on R_3 by precomposition of translations. That is, if $t \in X$ and

$\tau_t : X \to X$, $x \mapsto x + t$, then if $f \in R_3$, we define $t \cdot f = f \circ \tau_{-t}$. This action is holomorphic by Theorem 8, as the map $X \times R_3 \times X \to \mathbb{P}$, $(t, f, x) \mapsto f(x - t)$, is obviously holomorphic in x and t, and is holomorphic in f because the evaluation map $e : R_3 \times X \to \mathbb{P}$ is holomorphic. It is obvious that R_3 is not holomorphically separable because it contains the compact X-orbits.

We now claim that the action of X on R_3 is free. Indeed, suppose that $f \in R_3$ and $t \in X$ such that $f = f \circ \tau_{-t}$. If $b \in X$ is a branch point of f, then $b + t$ is a branch point of $f \circ \tau_{-t}$. But then f also has a branch point at $b + t$ and $f(b + t) = f(b)$. So either $t = 0$ or f attains the value $f(b)$ with multiplicity at least four, which is impossible if f is of degree 3. Finally, since X is compact, it acts properly on R_3. Thus applying Theorem 17 we obtain the following result.

Theorem 39. *The orbit space R_3/X is a complex manifold such that the quotient map $R_3 \to R_3/X$ is a principal X-bundle.*

We now claim that the quotient R_3/X is Stein. Recall from Section 2.4 that $\beta : R_3 \to X$ is the map taking $f \in R_3$ to the sum of its zeroes in the Lie group X, counted with multiplicity. Let $\pi : R_3 \to R_3/M$ be the quotient map, where M is the Möbius group. Theorem 18 implies that π is a principal M-bundle. It is clear that M acts on each fibre of β. Let 0 be the identity element in X. Then $\pi|\beta^{-1}(0) \to \beta^{-1}(0)/M$ is also a principal M-bundle. By Proposition 26, $\beta^{-1}(0)/M$ is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_2 \backslash C$ for some smooth cubic curve C biholomorphic to X, so $\beta^{-1}(0)/M$ is Stein. Since M is Stein, $\pi|\beta^{-1}(0)$ is a principal bundle with Stein fibre and Stein base, and so $\beta^{-1}(0)$ is a 5-dimensional Stein manifold [27, Théorème 4].

Now, let S be the set containing 0 and all elements in X of order 3. Then S is a 9-element subgroup of X and S acts on $\beta^{-1}(0)$. Since the action of X on R_3 is free, it follows that S acts freely (and properly discontinuously) on $\beta^{-1}(0)$ and so the quotient map $\Pi' : \beta^{-1}(0) \to \beta^{-1}(0)/S$ is a holomorphic 9-sheeted covering map.

Since $\beta^{-1}(0)$ is a Stein manifold, so is $\beta^{-1}(0)/S$.

Let $\iota : \beta^{-1}(0) \hookrightarrow R_3$ be the inclusion map and $\Pi : R_3 \to R_3/X$ the quotient map. Then each fibre of $\Pi \circ \iota$ is a single S-orbit in $\beta^{-1}(0)$. Indeed, if $[\tilde{f}] \in R_3/X$, then there is $f \in \beta^{-1}(0)$ with $(\Pi \circ \iota)(f) = [\tilde{f}]$. If $g \in \beta^{-1}(0)$ such that $(\Pi \circ \iota)(g) = [\tilde{f}]$, then for some $t \in X$, $g = f \circ \tau_{-t}$. But $\beta(g \circ \tau_{-t}) = 3t = 0$, which implies that g is in the S-orbit of f. Thus $\Pi \circ \iota$ is an S-invariant holomorphic map $\beta^{-1}(0) \to R_3/X$ and so, by the universal property of the quotient, induces a bijective holomorphic map $\varphi : \beta^{-1}(0)/S \to R_3/X$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \beta^{-1}(0) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & R_3 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Pi'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Pi} \\ \beta^{-1}(0)/S & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & R_3/X \end{array}$$

Thus, R_3/X is Stein, and we have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 40. *The complex manifold R_3 is holomorphically convex. Furthermore, its Remmert reduction is R_3/X.*

3.3 An Alternative Proof of the Main Theorem

Let X be a compact Riemann surface of genus 1, and R_3 be the complex manifold of holomorphic maps $X \to \mathbb{P}$ of degree 3. Let M be the Möbius group and identify X with $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma$ for Γ a lattice in $\mathbb{C}$, making X a Lie group. Then M and X act freely and properly on R_3 and so by Theorem 17 the quotient maps $\pi : R_3 \to R_3/M$ and $\Pi : R_3 \to R_3/X$ are principal bundles with fibres M and X respectively. We know from Proposition 28 that there is a cubic curve C in $\mathbb{P}_2$ biholomorphic to X and a 9-sheeted unbranched covering map $\tilde{s}_3 : \mathbb{P}_2 \backslash C \times X \to R_3/M$. Theorem 31 states that there is a 6-sheeted branched covering map $\lambda : N \to \mathbb{P}_2 \backslash C$ such that N is an Oka manifold. In Section 2.6 we constructed an Oka manifold W and a 6-sheeted branched covering map $\Phi : W \to R_3$.

In this section we shall construct an alternative 6-sheeted branched covering space of R_3, before showing that it is isomorphic to W in a natural way. We will then determine the critical values of both 6-sheeted branched covering maps.

Let $\beta : R_3 \to X$ be the map taking $f \in R_3$ to the Lie group sum of its zeroes, counted with multiplicity. We showed in Section 3.2 that the quotient map $\varphi : \beta^{-1}(0) \to R_3/X$ is a 9-sheeted unbranched covering map. We know from Proposition 26 that $\beta^{-1}(0)/M$ is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_2\backslash C$. The quotient map $\beta^{-1}(0) \to \beta^{-1}(0)/M$ is the map $\pi' = \pi|\beta^{-1}(0)$ and is a principal M-bundle. Let Y be the pullback of $\beta^{-1}(0)$ along the map λ. Then we have the following commuting diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\lambda'} & \beta^{-1}(0) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi'} \\ N & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & \mathbb{P}_2\backslash C \end{array}$$

where λ' is the pullback of λ along π'. Then Y is a principal M-bundle over N. Since M and N are Oka, so is Y.

Let $S \subset X$ be the 9-element subgroup $\{x \in X : 3x = 0\}$. We know from Section 2.6 that S acts freely on $N \times X$, and that W is an M-bundle over $(N \times X)/S$. It can be easily shown that this action defines an action on N by projecting onto the first component. Also, S acts on Y. Namely, for $(n, f) \in Y \subset N \times \beta^{-1}(0)$, let $t \cdot (n, f) = (t \cdot n, f \circ \tau_t)$. Since $t \in S$ it follows that $f \circ \tau_t \in \beta^{-1}(0)$. To show that this defines an action of S on Y it is enough to show that $t \cdot (n, f) \in Y$. This is equivalent to showing that $\lambda(t \cdot n) = \pi(f \circ \tau_t)$, which is clear from the definition of the action of S on $N \times X$ in Section 2.6. Hence S acts on Y and because the action of S on $\beta^{-1}(0)$ is free (as the action of X on R_3 is free), we see that the quotient map $Y \to Y/S$ is a 9-sheeted unbranched covering map and so Y/S is an Oka manifold. Now $\varphi \circ \lambda'$ is a 54-sheeted branched covering map $Y \to R_3/X$. Furthermore, $\varphi \circ \lambda'$ is S-invariant as $\varphi(f \circ \tau_t) = \varphi(f)$ for all $t \in S$ and $f \in \beta^{-1}(0)$. It follows that $\varphi \circ \lambda'$ induces a finite holomorphic map $\Lambda' : Y/S \to R_3/X$. It is easy to see that S acts freely on the fibres of $\varphi \circ \lambda'$. Hence Λ' is a 6-sheeted branched covering map.

Let W' be the pullback of Y/S along the quotient map Π and $\Phi' : W \to R_3$ be the pullback of Λ' along Π. Then we have the following commuting diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W' & \xrightarrow{\Phi'} & R_3 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \Pi \\ Y/S & \xrightarrow{\Lambda'} & R_3/X \end{array}$$

and Φ' is 6-sheeted branched covering map $W' \to R_3$. Furthermore, W' is a principal X-bundle over the Oka manifold Y/S, so is Oka.

The following commuting diagram of complex manifolds and holomorphic maps shows the relationships between W and W'. Branched and unbranched covering maps are labelled with the number of sheets. The unbranched coverings are precisely the maps with 9 sheets. Furthermore we label principal M- and X-bundles with their corresponding fibre.



We shall now prove the following.

Theorem 41. *There is a biholomorphism $F : W \to W'$ such that the following*

diagram commutes.



Proof. The proof mainly consists of unravelling definitions. Recall that

$$N = \{(p, q) \in C \times \mathbb{P}_2 \backslash C : q \text{ is on the tangent line to } C \text{ at } p\}.$$

It follows from the definitions of W and W' that

$$W = \{(f, [(p, q), x]) \in R_3 \times (N \times X)/S : \pi(f) = \tilde{s}_3(q, x)\},$$
$$W' = \left\{(f, [(p, q), h]) \in R_3 \times (N \times \beta^{-1}(0))/S : \Pi(f) = \varphi(h),\, q = \pi'(h)\right\}.$$

Using Proposition 26, we can identify $\mathbb{P}_2 \backslash C$ with $\chi^{-1}(0) = \beta^{-1}(0)/M$ and define the map

$$F : W \to W', \quad (f, [(p, q), x]) \mapsto (f, [(p, \pi(f \circ \tau_x)), f \circ \tau_x]).$$

To show that this is a well-defined map into W', we shall firstly show that it is defined independently of the choice of representatives. Let $(f, [(p, q), x])$, $(f, [(p', q'), x']) \in W$ such that $[(p, q), x] = [(p', q'), x']$. Then the action of S on $N \times X$ defined in Section 2.6 is such that for some $t \in S$, $x = x' + t$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(f, [(p, q), x]) &= (f, [(p, \pi(f \circ \tau_x)), f \circ \tau_x]) \\ &= (f, [(p, \pi(f \circ \tau_{x'+t})), f \circ \tau_{x'+t}]) \\ &= (f, [(p', \pi(f \circ \tau_{x'})), f \circ \tau_{x'}]) \\ &= F(f, [(p', q'), x']). \end{aligned}$$

Hence F is independent of the representative chosen.

To finish showing that F is well defined, we must show that $F(W) \subset W'$. We shall begin by showing that $f \circ \tau_x \in \beta^{-1}(0)$ if $(f, [(p, q), x]) \in W$. Indeed, $\beta(f \circ \tau_x) = \beta(f) - 3x$. Let $\chi : R_3/M \to X$ be the map induced by the M-invariant map β, let

$s_3 : X \to X$, $x \mapsto 3x$, and let $\tilde{\pi}$ be the projection $W \to N \times X$. Then the following commuting diagram shows that $\beta(f) = 3x$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
N \times X & \longrightarrow & (N \times X)/S & \xleftarrow{\tilde{\pi}} & W \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda \times \mathrm{id}_X} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Lambda} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Phi} \\
\mathbb{P}_2\backslash C \times X & \xrightarrow{\tilde{s}_3} & R_3/M & \xleftarrow{\pi} & R_3 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{proj}_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \chi} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle \beta} & \\
X & \xrightarrow{s_3} & X & &
\end{array}$$

Indeed, the diagram shows that $\beta(f) = \chi(\pi(f))$. Also, since $\pi(f) = \tilde{s}_3(q, x)$, it follows that $\chi(\tilde{s}_3(q, x)) = 3x$. Hence $f \circ \tau_x \in \beta^{-1}(0)$ and $\pi(f \circ \tau_x)$ can be identified with a point in $\mathbb{P}_2\backslash C$. It follows that $F(W) \subset R_3 \times N \times \beta^{-1}(0)$. Checking the conditions defining W, obviously $\varphi(f \circ \tau_x) = \Pi(f)$ and $\pi(f \circ \tau_x) = \pi(f \circ \tau_x)$, so $F(W) \subset W'$.

By taking local holomorphic sections of the covering map $N \times X \to (N \times X)/S$ we can show that F is holomorphic by showing that the map

$$R_3 \times N \times X \to R_3 \times N \times R_3, \quad (f, (p, q), x) \mapsto (f, (p, \pi(f \circ \tau_x)), f \circ \tau_x)$$

is holomorphic. But this follows from the fact that X acts holomorphically on R_3 and the map π is holomorphic.

Now, it is clear that $\Phi' \circ F = \Phi$. Since both W and W' are manifolds, to show that F is a biholomorphism, it suffices to show that F is bijective [22, Proposition 46 A.1]. We shall do this by constructing an inverse for F (it is not obvious that this inverse is holomorphic). Since X acts freely on R_3, given $(f, (p, q), h) \in R_3 \times N \times \beta^{-1}(0)$ such that $(f, [(p, q), h]) \in W'$, there exists a unique $x(f, h) \in X$ such that $h = f \circ \tau_{x(f,h)}$. Furthermore, given $f \in R_3$ and $x \in X$, the construction of the map $\tilde{s}_3$ in the proof of Proposition 28 shows that $\tilde{s_3}(\pi(f), x) = \pi(f \circ \tau_{-x})$. Then the preimage of $\pi(f)$ under $\tilde{s}_3$ is the set

$$\{(\pi(f \circ \tau_{x_i}), x_i) \in \mathbb{P}_2\backslash C \times X : i = 1, \ldots, 9\},$$

where $x_1, \ldots, x_9$ are the 9 distinct points on X such that $3x_1 = \cdots = 3x_9 = \beta(f)$.

We claim that the map

$$G : W' \to W, \quad (f, [(p, q'), h]) \mapsto (f, [(p, \pi(f \circ \tau_{x(f,h)})), x(f, h)]),$$

is the inverse of F. Indeed, G can be shown to be well defined analogously to F. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
(F \circ G)(f, [(p, q'), h])) &= (f, [(p, \pi(f \circ \tau_{x(f,h)})), f \circ \tau_{x(f,h)}]) \\
&= (f, [(p, \pi(h)), h]) \\
&= (f, [(p, q'), h]), \\
(G \circ F)(f, [(p, q), x]) &= (f, [(p, \pi(f \circ \tau_{x(f, f\circ\tau_x)})), x(f, f \circ \tau_x)]) \\
&= (f, [(p, q), x]).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence F is a biholomorphism. □

We shall now explicitly describe the fibres and determine the critical values of Φ and Φ'. Note that the above theorem implies that it is enough to do the latter for Φ' only. In order to proceed, we will need to know more about the fibres of $\lambda : N \to \mathbb{P}_2 \backslash C$. Let S^3X denote the three-fold symmetric product of X and let

$$\psi : S^3X \to X, \quad p_1 + p_2 + p_3 \mapsto p_1 + p_2 + p_3,$$

be the Jacobi map, where the $+$ sign in S^3X denotes the formal sum of points in X, and the $+$ sign in X denotes the Lie group sum in X. If $f \in R_3$, then every fibre of f, consisting of points p_1, p_2, p_3 counted with multiplicity, has an associated divisor $p_1 + p_2 + p_3 \in S^3X$. When the points p_1, p_2, p_3 are not distinct, the fibre is the preimage of a critical value of f, and we will call the associated divisor a *branch divisor* of f. As above, identify $\mathbb{P}_2 \backslash C$ with $\beta^{-1}(0)/M$. Also, since C is the image of an embedding of X in $\mathbb{P}_2$, for each $p \in C$, we shall denote by $x(p)$ the unique point in X corresponding to p under this embedding.

Proposition 42. *Let $f \in \beta^{-1}(0)$. Then the branch divisors of f are of the form $x(p) + x(p) + (-2x(p))$, where $(p, q) \in N$ and $q = \pi(f)$.*

This proposition is an immediate consequence of the following rephrasing of Proposition 27.

Proposition 43. *Let $f \in \beta^{-1}(0)$ and $q = \pi(f)$. Then $D \in \psi^{-1}(0)$ is a divisor corresponding to a fibre of f if and only if $D = x(p_1) + x(p_2) + x(p_3)$, where $p_1, p_2, p_3 \in C$ are the intersection points of a line passing through q with C, counted with multiplicity.*

We are now ready to determine the fibres of Φ'. The definition of W' and Proposition 42 give the following proposition.

Proposition 44. *Let $f \in R_3$, $(p, q) \in N$ and $h \in \beta^{-1}(0)$. Then $(f, [(p, q), h]) \in (\Phi')^{-1}(f)$ if and only if all of the following conditions are met.*

(1) *$x(p) + x(p) + (-2x(p))$ is a branch divisor of h.*

(2) *$q = \Pi(h)$.*

(3) *There exists $t \in X$ such that $h = f \circ \tau_{-t}$.*

The maps $f \in R_3$ whose fibres consist of fewer than 6 points are those that have a translate $h \in \beta^{-1}(0)$ with fewer than six branch divisors. But then f will have fewer than six branch divisors. By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, f has a total branching order of 6. Since f is of degree 3, this implies that f is a critical value of Φ' if and only if f has a singleton fibre. By Proposition 42, this corresponds to the case when $q = \pi(h)$ is on the tangent line to an inflection point p of C, in which case (p, q) is a branch point of the map $\lambda : N \to \mathbb{P}_2 \backslash C$.

If $(f, [(p, q), h]) \in (\Phi')^{-1}(f)$, then the equivalence class $[(p, q), h]$ essentially determines a branch divisor of f, in that each representative contains the data for a

branch divisor of a translate of f, and any two representatives in the same class give translates of the same branch divisor. Hence we can loosely interpret the map Φ' as associating to each $f \in R_3$ its generically six branch divisors.

Corollary 45. *The critical values of Φ and Φ' are those $f \in R_3$ that have a singleton fibre. Equivalently, f has a double critical point.*

In particular, the set of functions in R_3 with a double critical point forms a hypersurface H in R_3. This hypersurface, consisting of the critical values of Φ (or equivalently of Φ'), is invariant under the action of M and the action of X. Let T be the set of critical values of λ. Then H is a 'twisted version' of $M \times X \times T$. The hypersurface H is singular because T is singular, being the union of nine distinct lines in $\mathbb{P}_2 \backslash C$ with no intersection points on C (see the end of Section 2.5). Hence we have the following proposition.

Proposition 46. *The singular points of H are those functions with at least two double critical points.*

Now, Theorem 41 and Proposition 44 allow us to explicitly describe the fibres of Φ.

Proposition 47. *Let $f \in R_3$, $(p, q) \in N$ and $x \in X$. Then $(f, [(p, q), t]) \in \Phi^{-1}(f)$ if and only if the following conditions are met.*

(1) *$x(p) + x(p) + (-2x(p))$ is a branch divisor of $f \circ \tau_t$.*

(2) *$q = \pi(f \circ \tau_t)$.*

(3) *$3t = \beta(f)$.*

The fibres of Φ can be interpreted in the same way as the fibres of Φ'. Indeed if $(f, [(p, q), t]) \in \Phi^{-1}(f)$, then the equivalence class $[(p, q), t]$ corresponds to a branch divisor of f, in that every representative provides the data for a translate of this branch divisor.

Finally, it follows from Proposition 42 and Section 3.1 that R_3 contains maps with three double critical points if and only if X is biholomorphic to the equianharmonic cubic.

Bibliography

[1] Ahlfors, L. *Complex analysis*. Third Edition. McGraw-Hill, 1979.

[2] Artebani, M. and Dolgachev, I. *The Hesse pencil of plane cubic curves*. Enseign. Math. **55** (2009) 235–273.

[3] Buzzard, G. and Lu, S. *Algebraic surfaces holomorphically dominable by* $\mathbb{C}^2$. Invent. Math. **139** (2000) 617–659.

[4] Chu, H. and Kobayashi, S. *The automorphism group of a geometric structure*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **113** (1964) 141–150.

[5] Dolgachev, I. *Classical algebraic geometry: a modern view*. Cambridge University Press, 2012.

[6] Douady, A. *Le problème des modules pour les sous-espaces analytiques compacts d'un espace analytique donné*. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **16** (1966) 1–95.

[7] Fischer, G. *Plane algebraic curves*. American Mathematical Society, 2001.

[8] Forster, O. *Lectures on Riemann surfaces*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 81, Springer, 1981.

[9] Forstnerič, F. *Invariance of the parametric Oka property*. Trends in Mathematics. Springer, 2010, 124–144.

[10] Forstnerič, F. *Stein manifolds and holomorphic mappings: the homotopy principle in complex analysis*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3. Folge. Springer, 2011.

[11] Forstnerič, F. *Oka manifolds: from Oka to Stein and back*. Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse **22** (2013) 747–809.

[12] Forstnerič, F. and Lárusson, F. *Survey of Oka theory*. New York J. Math. **17** (2011) 11–38.

[13] Freitag, E. and Busam, R. *Complex analysis*. Springer, 2005.

[14] Gunning, R. C. *Lectures on Riemann surfaces: Jacobi varieties*. Princeton University Press, 1972.

[15] Griffiths, P. A. *Introduction to algebraic curves*. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 76, American Mathematical Society, 1989.

[16] Gromov, M. *Oka's principle for holomorphic sections of elliptic bundles*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1989) 851–897.

[17] Hanysz, A. *Oka properties of some hypersurface complements*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **142** (2014) 483–496.

[18] Hanysz, A. *Holomorphic flexibility properties of the space of cubic rational maps*. J. Geom. Anal. **25** (2015) 1620–1649.

[19] Hatcher, A. *Algebraic topology*. Third Edition. Cambridge University Press, 2002.

[20] Holmann, H. *Quotienten komplexer Räume*. Math. Ann. **142** (1961) 407–440.

[21] Karcher, H., Wei, F. and Hoffman, D. 'The genus one helicoid and the minimal surfaces that led to its discovery' in *Global analysis in modern mathematics*. Publish or Perish, 1993, 119–170.

[22] Kaup, L. and Kaup, B. *Holomorphic functions of several variables*. De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, vol. 3, Walter de Gruyter, 1983.

[23] Kaup, W. *Holomorphic mappings of complex spaces*. Symposia Mathematica **2** (1968) 333–340.

[24] Lärkäng, R. and Lárusson, F. *Extending holomorphic maps from Stein manifolds into affine toric varieties*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).

[25] Lárusson, F. *Eight lectures on Oka manifolds*. `arXiv:1405.7212`

[26] Lárusson, F. *What is an Oka manifold?* Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **57** (2010) 50–57.

[27] Matsushima, Y. and Morimoto, A. *Sur certains espaces fibrés holomorphes sur une variété de Stein*. Bulletin de la S. M. F. **88** (1960) 137–155.

[28] Mead, D. G. *Newton's identities*. Amer. Math. Monthly **99** (1992) 749–751.

[29] Miranda, R. *Algebraic curves and Riemann surfaces*. American Mathematical Society, 1995.

[30] Namba, M. *Moduli of open holomorphic maps of compact complex manifolds*. Math. Ann. **220** (1976) 65–76.

[31] Namba, M. *Families of meromorphic functions on compact Riemann surfaces*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 767, Springer, 1979.

[32] Röhrl, H. *Holomorphic fibre bundles over Riemann surfaces*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **68** (1962) 125–160.